LB. 94-94

G. R. S. IX-5]

1886-87

Previous references.

File No. 2-86

191

(LB)

Serial Nos. 1-4

Later references.

LB. 4-93

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-41.

Major ~~Part~~ B for August 1888.

SUBJECT.

Proposal to revise the rules relating to Travellers' Bungalows & Establishments there of in the State.

Statements of abstracts ~~what~~ shewing the number of classifications of Bungalows & Muzaferkhana of this file are kept separately.

4/2/19.

Indexed

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-18

Memorandum

The substance of Captain Kensington's Note dated 19th March 1885 is the following:—

1st.- That it would be more Economical to Govt. if the Travellers' Bungalow Establishment be transferred to the D.P.W.—

2nd.- That the present classification of Travellers' Bungalows and the Establishment therein maintained be revised with a view to reduce Expenditure.

3rd.- That the occupancy fees should be as at present, the 3d class bungalows being occupied free; that for any occupancy thereof Exceeding 24 hours, the same fee as for 2d class bungalows be levied, government Officers travelling on duty Excepted.

4 thly

21

as proposed by Capt. Kensington.

(4). I see no objection to the proposal to charge maintenance according to the roads on which the Bungalows are situated.

Mysore Deputy Commissioner — (2) If Captain Kensington's proposal to dispense with the services of Kotwals and Dandies be approved, the travellers will be put to great inconvenience. The proposed Head servant will not be able to obtain supplies, and if he should go out for them, there will be left no one to cook. The Kotwal and the Dandi are therefore indispensable in the 1st and 2nd class Bungalows for procuring supplies while the Maisty will be busy cooking for the traveller. Captain Kensington's proposal regarding the revision of the classification of Bungalows may stand good.

French Rocks Sub Dr Officer — concurs with Capt. Kensington in his proposals 1, 2 & 4, subject to the condition that if it be decided to retain the services of Kotwals & Dandies, they must be under the orders of the Revenue Officers as

heretofore, as their services will not always be required at the Bungalows and may therefore be utilized in the collection of revenue and other minor Executive matters.

(3). It is proposed to exempt Officials &c occupying 3d class bungalows Even beyond 24 hours from payment of any fees. There is no reason for such concession, as the Officials are amply paid for all journies they make; nor is any good reason advanced for the continuance of the present Exemption in favor of the Police & Survey Officials.

Hasan Deputy Commissioner

(1) This is merely a matter of form and Govt may decide in this matter as they like.

(2) There is no objection to the proposed re-classification of bungalows in this District. The existing staff of servants in the several bungalows should not be disturbed, as they are so well suited to so many

Funds.

Dy. Commissioner,
Kaira District —

(1) The Establishment of Travellers Bungalows should be under the control of the Revue Dept., as they have, according to recent orders, to do to all other public buildings repairs upto Rs. 250/- If the control is to be given to the D.P.W., then they should undertake the supplying of bullocks & other wants of Travellers, which the Revue Dept. with the assistance of the Bungalow Establishments now try to do. I believe that if the Travellers' Bungalow Establishments, furniture, Kit, repairs upto Rs. 250/- be handed over to the Revenue authorities, the bungalows would be kept cleaner and better in Every way than they would be under the D.P.W.

(2) The changes in Establishment &c. of Bungalows proposed by Capt. Kensington may be tried if thought desirable. But instead of an assistant boy at 1st class bungalows, I would have a peon or waterman and in all 3rd class bungalows, instead of a Toti Sweeper I would have a peon who could be held

and varied requirements of individual travellers and Regiments marching. The proposed staff of maities &c will not answer the require-ments of Caste Hindu travel-lers for whom, according to recent orders, accommoda-tion has to be provided.

(3) There is no reason why a 3d class Bungalow should be occupied free. A small charge will go towards the cost of Establishment and the Expenses of Whitewashing and cleaning. I would propose a four anna rate for 3d class Bungalows and make no distinction between married and single. I would also make no distinction between a full day and fraction of a day. This distinction would lead to abuse. When once a traveller stops his carriage and gets into the Bungalow for rest, he must pay the day's fee.

(4) I concur in the proposal that bungalows on Provincial Roads & those on Local Fund Roads should be maintained respectively by Provincial and Local

3/ 0

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

responsible to keep the place clean and yet not interfere with the prejudices of Hindu Gentlemen who would not like the presence of a Toti Sweeper. For the pay offered, Rs 4, in the Malnad at all Events, neither peon nor sweeper would be obtained; Rs 7/- will have to be offered.

In the list received with copy of the Note, bungalows are entered as Existing at Kalasa and Vastara; for years past, there have been no bungalows in the places; and two bungalows, one at Sampige kan and the other at Bale Hole have since been built and are ready.

Kalhatti is entered as a 3d class bungalow. I should recommend its removal from the list as travellers never go there; and its being handed over to the Deputy Commr for the time being at a fixed rental of Rs 15 a month.

The Dy Comr Shimoga

I fully agree with the P.W.D. Secretary in the proposals made by him.

(2) I propose that the bungalow at Benkipura may be

retained as a 2d class bunga-
low as it is on the
junction of Chennagiri-Shimoga-
-Bangalore Roads and a new road
now being opened may connect
it with the Koppa Madras.

Malebennur, where there
is a bungalow, has since been
transferred to Chitaldroog.

The Dy Comr Chitaldroog.

(1) I agree with Capt. Kensington.
(2) I would suggest that the Travel-
-lers' bungalows at Chitaldrug,
Davanagere and Rampur be
retained in their present classes,
as Chitaldrug is the Distt Hd Qrs
and Davangere and Rampur
are on the Bangalore, Harihar
and Bellary Imperial Roads
and they are largely frequented.

At Jalak there is a 3d class
Bungalow which may be raised
to the 2d class adding to it a few
articles of furniture from other
bungalows with the addition of
the Establishment proposed by
the P.W. Secretary.

The scale of Establishment
proposed will do; but I would
suggest that the Offices of Hotwal
and Dandi in the Chitaldrug
Travellers' Bungalow need not
be done away with as their ser-
-vices will be very much required
in supplying bullocks, carts &c for
public purposes.

In the list of bungalows received, the 3d class Bungalows at Hosdroog and Malebammer are omitted; I would suggest that the latter be raised to the 2d class as it has sufficient furniture and suitable accommodation for the comfort of travellers who would not mind to pay the trifling fees chargeable by Govt.

The Dy Comr Tumkur

(1) The Establishment of Travellers' Bungalows should be under the control of the Deputy Commissioner.
(2) The modifications in classification &c may be sanctioned.

Kolar

(1) I agree with Capt Kensington in thinking that the establishment of Travellers' Bungalows should be under the control of the ~~D P W~~.
(2) I agree also in ~~the classification~~ proposed revision of the classification of these bungalows; but I would advocate the retention of Kotwals whose services are very much required by travellers both official and non-official passing on the more important high roads on which troops also march occasionally. The pay of the Kotwals is debited to Law and Justice and do not properly belong to the bungalow Establishments; and some of them



Col. 86

Subd. Bangalore

Do

6, 7, 8, 9 & 10 are most important of which 6, 8 10 cannot be answered from replies received

Bangalore by Comm. alone gives the present & proposed Establishment Complete, approved by Capt Kennedy's proposal but does not give the full details of the form of Revenue Subdivision Bangalore Division be prescribed to other Districts & Statements or Returns will be called for, the Statements may be prepared and [illegible]

Read:-

Docket from the D Comr Tumkur Distrct dd 17th July 1889 no 171 transmitting for orders a report of the ~~Superintendg~~ Tiptur Amildar ~~containing~~ information on all the points alluded to in the Senior Surgeon's Letter no 201 dated 11th February 1889 to the addl of the Dy Comr of the District

—o—

Order thereon

~~In Para 6 of the report referred to in the above,~~ ~~proposal is made to construct a Choultry at Tiptur and to feed travellers at a hired Choultry to be kept there and the charges to be borne by Government, the Choultry may be located by the Dy Comr transferring the chattram charges~~ of Balamuri Sevuga patam Talook, or of Gundam which are not much resorted to, ~~by the travellers~~ ~~The Dewan regrets that this application cannot be sanctioned~~

Hon'ble Govt is not prepared to sanction the Dy Comr's proposal

With a view to prevent the importation of cholera into the town of Tiptur, it is proposed to take over to the Municipality the road running through the town to the north of it, by which the travellers pass and to construct at a small cost another new road to the south of the town close by the Railway road. With reference to this the Dy Comr is requested to submit a separate letter on the subject.

Under Secretary

To
The Dy Comr. Tumkur Deals
" Senior Surgeon. for information

V.B [Do apted under chief Secy's order]

The Dy Comr is requested to submit a new report by the month of the Census of Tiptur and also present the importation of Cholera channels from the subject of a separate letter which is required in subject reports

Draft for approval

30,000
1320
28680

Travellers Bungalow Regulations

(1)

Rules now in force for the preservation and occupation of Travellers Bungalows in Mysore.

Bungalows are placed under the charge of Deputy Commissioners of Districts. It is expected that all rules which may be ~~established~~ prescribed by them for the preservation and cleanliness of the Buildings shall be implicitly observed and conformed to by Travellers.

(2.) The first comer is entitled to the choice of rooms, but no individual is permitted to appropriate to himself more than one room when

Notification with No 283 of 25/2/1863.
To Superintendent of Sagar

Sir.

For the words "by Cutchs" occurring in the Notice of 1863, the words by Coorgs have been substituted.

Under Rule 1 of the Notification all rules established by the Cutchs have to be conformed to. The framing of Rules by the District Officers has in practice been always subject to approval by the local Govt. Therefore it would be better to add the words "subject to the approval of the Govt after them" in this Rule

BR

6th. Any representation of misconduct on the part of the servants attached to Public Bungalows, made to the Deputy Commissioner, will be ~~immediately attended~~ Enquired into.

(7) The Amildar or other Public Servant on the spot is ordered to report to the Deputy Commissioner any ill-treatment of the Servants attached to the Bungalow, and generally any deviations from these rules, either on the part of Travellers or their domestics and followers, which may come under his own knowledge, or be brought to his notice by the person in immediate charge of the Bungalow

(8). Masters are held

held responsible for any infringement of the Rules by their attendants.

(9). The "Kitchens for High Caste Hindus" recently added to Travellers' Bungalows are intended to be used by "Vegetarian Hindus" only. The Deputy Commissioners will enforce this rule.

Dewan's Pro. No 7419-29 of 5/2/87 Vol 249

(10) A book is kept at each Bungalow, in which each Traveller is requested to write his name and dates of arrival & Departure

Notification with No 283 of 25/2/1863.

(11) All persons making use of or occupying a Travellers' Bungalow, must pay the prescribed fee.

Notification No 63 of 14/10/74

(12) All payments are to be made to the Head Servant present, before the Traveller quits the Bungalow

Notification dy 28/5/1870.

(13")

the same as if he ~~himself~~ occupied a room in the Said Bungalow.

(16) In cases where a Traveller does not himself occupy any First or Second Class ~~Travellers~~ Traveller's Bungalow or Compound, but only pickets his horse or horses in the Stables or Compound of Such Bungalow, half the prescribed rate for personal occupancy will be charged in lieu of full rate.

(17) A Traveller or Travellers occupying a First or Second Class Traveller's Bungalow for a period not exceeding four hours, will be charged half the daily rates for each such occupancy.

(18) The occupation of Bungalow Stables by Travellers'

(13) Two or more persons putting up in any one room of a Traveller's Bungalow must each pay a full daily fee, viz.

First Class Bungalow

For each adult ... Rs 1—0—0

For each married couple ... 1—8—0

Second Class Bungalow

For each adult ... Rs 0—8—0

For each married couple ... 0—12—0

(14) For any occupancy extending beyond 24 hours and not over 48 hours, double the above rates will be charged; every additional 24 hours, or fraction thereof being reckoned as a separate occupancy to be paid for accordingly.

(15) When a godown, Cookroom, or compound of a traveller's Bungalow is used by a Traveller, he must pay the

Travellers bullocks being strictly prohibited, will on no account be permitted but no objection will be made to their being picketted in parts of the compounds remote from the stables.

(19) Travellers injuring or breaking any Bungalow article, are bound to make good the value thereof as given in the annexed list, paying the same to the Maty previous to departure, and making an entry to that effect in the Bungalow book.

No.	Name of article	Value of each article to be paid to Maty
1.	Teapot	Rs 4-8-0
2.	Coffee pot	4-8-0
3.	Metal Candlestick with shade	15-0-0
4.	Spare Shade	3-0-0
5.	Table Knife	3-0-0
6.	Do fork	4-0-0
7.	Do Spoon	4-0-0
8	Dessert - Do	3-8-0
9.	Tea - Do	3-0-0
10	Salt - Do	3-0-0
11	Carver & Fork	7-0-0
12.	Cup and Saucer	1-8-0
13.		

13. Soup plate . . . Rs 1-8-0

14. Flat — do — 1-4-0

15. Dessert plate — 1-4-0

16 Large dish 5-0-0

17. Dish cover — 4-0-0

18 Salt Cellar 2-0-0

19 Egg Cup — 0-12-0

20 Tumbler — 1-8-0

21. Wine glass — 1-0-0

22. Steel — 2-8-0

23 Milk Jug . . . 1-8-0

24 Sugar basin (bowl) 1-8-0

(20). ~~The rates payable~~ Notification No 2987 of 13/12/1877
~~by Travellers~~ for the
occupation of 3rd Class
Travellers Bungalows ~~are~~:-
no
~~(a)~~ No charge will be
made for any occupancy
not exceeding 24 hours.

~~(b)~~ Any occupancy exceeding
must
24 hours ~~to~~ be paid for
as follows:—

For each adult 4 annas per day

Married couple or family } 6-0-0 per day

(c)

and Districts.

211

8/4/07

Rules now under
for the preservation and
Occupation of
Ancient Remains
and Monuments.

Sir

I have the honour to forward herewith 25 spare copies of the Frontiers Bungalow Rules as applied for in your letter dated 27th January last (Number desired in his letter No 489 dated 17/3/07) are not now available. The Manuscript copy of the Rules herewith submitted has been compiled from the Notes and Sketches on the Subject in question as far as possible. If the Compilation is approved it may be printed and spare copies supplied to the Secretary as desired.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient
servant

(c) No deduction will be
made on account of any
broken portion of 24 hours

HR.

Rules now in force
for the preservation
Occupations of
Forresters Beacon
in the Parish

Sir

I have spare copies of
Foresters Beacon Rules
& applied for on the 1st day
of November last under Order
in Her Book C. No 489 & 1713 &
are not now available
The Manuscript Copy of
the Rules herewith submitted
has been compiled
from the Notes & recollections
I can on the Subject
up as far as possible.
If the Compilation is
approved it may be
printed and spare
Copies secured in
the Dry Counties of Dist
P.R.

Detached Statement of
III Class Travellers
Bungalows with
establishments maintained
at each

This must go with the
file re "Third Class
Travellers Bungalows
in the Province"

| | | |

Kadur District

| | | |

| | | |

Mysore District

| | | |

Tumkur District

| | | |

| | | |

A Statement Shewing all the 3d Class Travellers' Bungalows in Each District and the amounts debited for the Establishments in the case of Each of Provincial & Dt Funds, as called for in Lr. Pro. No: 1344 46.538 dy 23/2/91 Cir 2852368

No	Taluk	Name of Town or Village	Provincial: Kolars No	Kolars Rate	Kolars Amount	Dundes No	Dundes Rate	Dundes Amount	Total No	Total Rate	Total Amount	District Funds: Lamps No	Lamps Rate	Lamps Amount	Watchers No	Watchers Rate	Watchers Amount	Totes No	Totes Rate	Totes Amount	Waterman No	Waterman Rate	Waterman Amount	Total No	Total Rate	Total Amount	Remarks
								Bangalore District																			
	Bangalore	Kumbalgood																1	4	48				1	4	48	
	Kankanhally	Kankanhally										1	4	48										1	4	48	
		Total										1	4	48				1	4	48				2	4	96	
								Kolar District																			
	Kolar	Kungal	1	7	84				1	7	84	1	4	48										1	4	48	
	Sidlaghatta	Palagavara	1	7	84	1	4	48	2	11	132	1	4	48										1	4	48	
		Jangamkote	1	7	84	"	"	"	1	"	84	1	4	48										1	4	48	
		Dibberhulli										1	4	48										1	"	48	
	Bowringpet	P.W. Lodge at Bowringpet													1	5	60							1	"	60	
		Do Balamangala													1	4	48							1	"	48	
	Mulbagal	Mulbagal	1	7	84	1	4	48	2	11	132	1	4	48										1	"	48	
	Chintamani	Royalpad										1	4	48										1	"	48	

P.b. Mudgeredda
4094-6 / L283 of 4-7-91.
T.B. Est 8@4 = 384
P.W. Lodge at Bowringpet, 6
Do at Balamangala 4
T.B. at hands 1- 6
Dibberhalli T.B. 48
Srinivaspur do 1 48
Total 13- 648
Talbis
Talbis

(General Serial No. 30.)

Ag. 188 (1)

From Circular letter
Chief

The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

To All Dr Commissioners

Dated 10th August 86

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 3107-14

No.

I have the honor to forward herewith copy of a note by the late D. R. on the proposed changes in connection with Travellers' Bungalows in the Economic and Civil Establishments and to request that this office may be furnished, at an early date, with the opinions of the Dr Commissioners on the proposals made by Capt: Kensington.

Extracts from a statement of the existing and proposed

Travellers Bungalows

and from an abstract statement of the different classes into which they are recommended to be divided are enclosed.

B. O.

Chief Secy



Abstract statement of Travellers' Bungalows, existing and proposed (by P.W. Dept) in the Provin

		Travellers' Bungalows							
		Present				Proposed			
1	Bangalore District	2	10	2	14	..	6	9	15
2	Kolar — Do —	"	3	8	11	.	3	9	12
3	Tumkur — Do —	3	3	12	18	2	2	14	18
4	Mysore — Do —	4	10	19	33	1	11	25	37
5	Hassan — Do —	4	3	6	13	3	"	11	14
6	Shimoga. Do —	1	7	17	25	1	2	22	25
7	Kadur Do —	1	9	13	23	1	6	16	23
8	Chittaldroog Do.	4	4	9	17	"	5	12	17
	Total	19	49	86	154	8	35	118	161

One new Bungalow proposed at Magady
Do Do Bowringpett

Three Bungalows at Maddur and two at Hirisave proposed to be reduced to one at each of the places and 7 new ones proposed, net increase being only four.
One new Bungalow proposed at Makkihalli

Abstract statement
of Existing and
proposed Travellers'
Bungalows in the
Province (to be retained
in office).

Statement showing
existing and propo
Travellers Bungal
in Mysore.

Cir. about—
Cost Houses f. c
Native Offrs
List of Natives
how employed

Statement shewing existing and proposed Travellers' Bungalows of the Myene Province.

Division	Localities	Existing Travellers' Bungalows — 1st Class	2nd Class	3rd Class	Proposed Travellers' Bungalows. 1st Class	2nd Class	3rd Class	Remarks.
Ines — Bungalows.	Kaipoandra	/	"	"	/	"	"	Handed over to Resident.
	Kumbalagod	/	"	"	X	/	"	P
	Closepet	/	"	"	X	/	"	P
	Kalamangala	/	"	"	/	"	"	Municipal Court — 1 Rep.
	Devanhalli	"	/	"	"	/	"	Kolar District Road —
	Hebbagod	"	/	"	"	/	"	P
	Yelahanka	"	/	"	"	/	"	P
	Hoscottah	"	/	"	"	/	"	P
	Sompur	"	/	"	"	X	/	P
	Solur	"	/	"	"	X	/	P
	Cheunapatna	"	/	"	"	X	/	P
	Rozipur or Dodbalapur	"	/	"	"	/	"	D
	Biddadi	"	/	"	"	X	/	P
	Kankanhilli	"	"	/	"	"	/	D
	Gargankota	"	"	/	"	"	/	D Transferred to Kolar District Road
	Magadi	"	"	"	"	"	/	D Dak Bungalow — Public Works Engineer
	Kadirenna			/			/	P [illegible]

	Kunigal Hallyppa	1	"	"	"	1	"	P
	Total —	3	9	13	1	7	7	SP=11 D=3
	Kolar	"	1	"	"	1	"	P. Part of Hd office
	Chikballapur	"	1	"	"	1	"	P
	Malur	"	1	"	"	1	"	D
	Mulbagal	"	"	1	"	"	1	P
	Royalpad	"	"	1	"	"	1	D
	Thalgara	"	"	1	"	"	1	D
	Guntanavapalli	"	"	1	"	"	1	P
	Narsapur	"	"	1	"	"	1	P
	Periyagandra	"	"	1	"	"	1	P
	Velagal	"	"	1	"	"	1	D
	Bowringpet	"	"	"	"	"	1	D Near Bungalow. Vide paragraph Pos
	Dharmapalli	"	1	"	"	1	"	P = cer dubgs
	Jangamkote	"	"	1	"	"	1	D
	Total —	"	4	8	"	4	9	P=7 D=6 13

1 2nd class 2 2nd class = 19 Rs per mensem
11 x 8

1 new Kolar

? 2nd class 5 2nd class

Tumkur

Tumkur	✓	/	"	"	/	"	"	* P	Recommends that native
Tiptur	✓	/	"	"	/	"	"	* P	kitchen Houses each costing
Kunigal	✓	/	"	"	/	Bungalow		*	Rs 100 be built in the
Sira	✓	"	/	"	"	/	"	P	compound of the Travel-
Nittur	✓	"	/	"	"	X	/	P	-lers' Bungalows. Items
Kibbanhalli		"	/	"	"	X	/	P	marked *. No new
Yediyroor		"	/	"	"	/	Bungalow		Bungalows are necessary.
Nelhal	✓	"	"	/	"	"	/	* P	
Ankasandra	✓	"	"	/	"	"	/	* D	
Koratagere	✓	"	"	/	"	"	/	D	
Midagehi	✓	"	"	/	"	"	/	D	
Midghiry	✓	"	"	/	"	"	/	* D	
Kallambella	✓	"	"	/	"	"	/	P	
Bochihalli	✓	"	"	/	"	"	/	D	Is there a Kutcherry ?
Turavekere	✓	"	"	/	"	"	/	D	
Mayasandra	✓	"	"	/	"	"	/	* D	
Hebbur	✓	"	"	/	"	"	/	D	


Tumkur
Tumkur
Tiptur
Kunigal
Sira
Nittur
Kibbanhalli
Yediyoor
Nelhal
Ankasandra
Koratagere
Midageshi
Madghury
Kallambella
Bochihalli
Turavekere
Manjasandra
Hebbur
Recommends that native
kitchen Houses each costing
Rs 100 be built in the
compounds of the Travl-
-lers' Bungalows. Items
marked *. No new
Bungalows are necessary.
Where is the bungalow?

Trimáni	4	4	1	4	4	1	D
Total	12	3	12	2	1	14	
Mysore	/	"	"	X	/	"	P
Bunsur	/	"	X	/	"	X	P
Madras	/	X	X	X	/	XP	French Rocks Stony shore
Mandya	/	"	"	X	/	P	Detto
Yelwal	"	/	"	"	X	/	P
Periyapatna	"	/	"	"	/	"	P Recommended - present & the next not considered separable -
Antarasante	"	/	"	"	/	"	D
Madalli	"	/	"	"	/	D	French Rocks
Bandipur	"	/	"	"	X	/	P
Kattakalli	"	/	"	"	/	P	French Rocks
Hampapur	"	/	"	"	/	"	D
Carried over	2	5	2	1	5	1	

P=7
D=10
17
All Mysore = No 4°

Mysore.

SS. 25.

Brought forward	2	5	0	1	5	1	
Gundlupet	"	1	"	"	11	"	P
Kadakola	"	"	1	"	"	11	P
Chattanhalli	"	"	1	"	"	11	D
Sagarkatte	"	"	1	"	"	11	D
Belikere	"	"	1	"	"	11	P
Panchvalli	"	"	1	"	"	11	P
Kakankote	"	"	1	"	"	11	D
Baigur	"	"	1	"	"	11	P
Chamrajnagar	"	"	1	"	"	11	D
Attikalpur	"	"	1	"	"	11	D
Sindwalli	"	"	1	"	"	11	P
Balgola	"	"	1	"	"	11	P
Kabgaon	~	~	~	~	~	~	Bangalore Dist
Lingraj Chattra	"	"	1	"	"	1	? D
Narsipur	"	"	"	"	"	1	D New Building
Tekal Reeks	"	~	~	"	~	"	D Tekal Reeks
Beryagedatra	"	"	"	"	"	1	D — do —
Talook	"	"	1	"	"	1	D

Mysore.

Brought forward 1 Talook more.

Cooling Rs 3,813

	Nanjangud	"	"	"	"	/	"	
	G. Madhuvanahalli	"	"	/	"	"	/	D
	Begur							
	Hedadyatole							
	Total	2	6	15	1	8	14	27
	Hassan	/	"	"	/	"	"	P
	Channarayapatna	/	"	"	/	"	"	P Rend Nocte Nunqs
	Sakleshpur	/	"	"	/	"	"	P
	Attiehpur	"	/	"	"	X	/	P Rend Nocte Sing are required from Native Travellers
	Belur	"	/	"	"	X	/	D
	Arsikere	"	/	"	"	X	/	P
	Koravangala	"	/	"	"	X	/	P Rend Nocte &c.
	Channarayapatna	"	"	/	"	"	/	D Ditto do
	Kibbehalli	"	"	/	"	"	/	D Ditto do
	Nagamangala	"	"	/	"	"	/	P Rend Nocte
	Kabbigere	"	"	/	"	"	/	P Rend Nocte
	Gandasi	"	"	/	"	"	/	D
	Marshalli	"	"	/	"	"	/	P
		2	2	2	2	0	4	

Yedeaha Pind (assistance from P.W.D)

Hassan

P=13 D=14 2 2 + 12 = 14 22 + 4 = 26

b

		2	4	6	3	4	6	
	Dindigankalli	2	2	2	2	0	4	Repairs required
	Pollicum	"	"	1	"	"	1	P
	Arkalgud	"	"	1	"	"	1	Improve the Cook Room bath Room Privy & Stables are necessary. The School house is it is building used
	Hariapar	"	"	1	"	"	1	Improve
	Makkihalli	"	"	"	"	"	1	Do New Building
	Yocks Range	3	4	4	1	5	6	17
		2	2	4	2	0	1	9
	Total	3	4	10	3	4	11	
	Shimoga	1	"	"	1	"	"	P
	Benkipur	"	1	"	"	X	1	P
	Honnali	"	1	"	"	1	"	P
	Kumsi	"	1	"	"	X	1	P
	Anantapur	"	1	"	"	X	1	P
	Talguppa	"	1	"	"	X	1	P
	Sagar	"	1	"	"	1	"	P
	Sakkrebail	"	"	1	"	"	1	P
	Holalur	"	"	1	"	"	1	P

Hassan

New. Yocks Range

1 new

P=4 D=6 } 10
12 w. + 4 w. = 38 lbs. cast iron
22 × 16 = 38 lbs

P=3 D=2 } 5
all gun iron = 12 lbs

		Gurigánhalli	✓		/				/	D	
		Banawar	✓	/	"	"	"	"	/	P	To be reduced from 1st to 3d Class.
		Chichmagalur	✓	/	"	"	/	"	"	P	Requires retrenchment. Estimate promised
		Terekere	✓	"	/	"	"	/	"	P	
		Sakrepatna	✓	"	"	/	"	"	/	P	
		Kadur	✓	"	/	"	X	/	"	P	To be raised from 2nd to 1st Class.
		Kalhatti	✓	"	"	/	"	"	/	P	
		Kantaveri	✓	"	/	"	"	"	/	D	To be reduced from 2d to 3d Cl.
Kadur		Godakatte	✓	"	"	/	"	"	/	P	
		Adjanpur	✓	"	"	/	"	"	/	D	
	1st	Gedahalli	✓	"	"	/	"	/	"	P	To be raised from 3rd to 2nd Class
		Lakvalli	✓	"	"	/	"	"	/	P	
		Nanjangud	✓	"	/	"	"	"	/	D	
		Baiharpur	✓	"	"	/	"	"	/	D	
		Bylekonnur	✓	"	"	/	"	/	"	D	
		Kastara	✓	"	"	/	"	"	/	P	
		Subbarâl	✓	"	"	/	"	"	/	D	
		Linganhalli	✓	"	"	/	"	"	/	D	
		Linigadahalli	✓	"	"	/	"	"	/	D	
		Kotyhen	✓	"	/	"	"	"	/	P	
		Moodgere	✓	"	/	"	X	/	"	P	At present a D. P. W. Inspection Lodge
		Aldur	✓	"	/	"	"	X	/	D	✓ do
		Kol[illegible]	✓	"	/	"	"	/	"	P	

Chitaldrug	Total	2	9	8	31	26	916	23	
				9	4				
Chitaldrug									
Chitaldrug		1	"	"	*	1	"	P	
Harihar		1	"	"	1	"	"	D	Shimoga Dist
Heriyur		1	"	"	X	1	"	P	
Bommhere		1	"	"	X	1	"	P	
Chalakere		"	1	"	"	1	"	P	
Davangere		"	1	"	"	1	"	D	Shimoga Dist
Bharmasagar		"	1	"	"	X	1	P	
Rampur		"	1	"	"	X	1	P	
Malebennur		"	1	"	"	1	"	D	Shimoga Dist
Vizapur		"	"	1	"	"	1	P.	
Joginatt		"	"	1	"	"	1	D	
Hunagod		"	"	1	"	"	1	P	
		3	3	3/9	*	4	5	9	

P = 12 = 48
D = 12 = 11 = 44 = 55

Chitaldroog	Gurigantalli ✓			1			1	D
	Banawar ✓	1	"	"	"	"	1	P. To be reduced from 1st to 3rd Class
		4 3	5 3	3 3	4 0	5 4	3 5	
	Tallak ✓	"	"	1	"	"	1	P
	Kanagal ✓	"	"	1	"	"	1	P
	Javagondanhalli	"	"	1	"	"	1	P
	Gerahalli ✓	"	"	1	"	"	1	P
	Gyamangala ✓	"	"	1	"	"	1	P
	Hubigar	"	"	1	"	"	1	Trunkum Dist
	Holalkere ✓	"	"	1	"	"	1	D
	Tarikere	"	"	1	"	"	1	Trunkum Dist
	Total	3	5	9	4	4	11	15
				5				
	Grand Total for 8 Districts	21	43	78 — 21	22 — 1	44 — 43	84 — 78	
	Difference More	"	"	"	1	1	6	

P = 13
D = 2
15
3rd Class = 8 Nos

8. 1st
31 2nd
57 3rd

D.	P.	Abstract.	1st Class Existing	1st Class Proposed	2nd Class Existing	2nd Class Proposed	3rd Class Existing	3rd Class Proposed	Total Present	Total Proposed	
1.2 3	11	Bangalore	3	0	9	7	1	7	13	14	1 new at Magadi
.5 6	7	Kolar	0	0	4	4	8	9	12	13	1 new at Bowringpet
10 10	7	Tumkur	2	2	3	1	12	14	17	17	0
n.12 14	13	Mysore	2	1	6	8	14	18	22	27	5 new (1 Nanjangud taken over + 4 at ×)
3 3	6	Hassan	2	2	2	0	4	7	8	99	1 new at Mukkodahalli
12 14 13	15	Shimoga	2	1	8	3	18	24	28	28	0
10 13 12	12	Kadur	2	1	9	6	13	17	24	24	0
2 2	13	Chitaldrug	3	0	3	4	9	11	15	15	0
2 4 6	6	French Rocks	0	1	4	5	4	6	11	12	1 new French Rocks
69	99	Total	19	8	48	38	88	113	150	159	9
9	9	Diff. ... more	11	0	10	0	4	30	4	9	

× T. Narsipur
Heggadevankote
Yedialur
Bennur

7 2nd Class ... at 11 = 77
62 2nd " at 4 = 248
325 Rs per mensem
689 for Rural

Allowance 18/5/84
= 3900
= 8268
12168 per an

Note on changes proposed
re the Establishment &c of
Travellers Bungalows -

The attached statements lately
received from the D'Govts office
show in detail the number,
class & establishment entertained
of every bungalow in the Province
also the same information as
regards what was proposed -
It is believed that these papers
were called for by the D'Govt with
a view to make certain changes
& that the proposals contained
herein emanated from the Dept
Govt -

2. As the maintenance of all the
travellers bungalows in the Province
at present falls on the Prov & -
Dist Funds budgets thus to be
carried out by the P. W. Dept
I would advocate the transfer of
the bungalow establishments
also to this Dept - At present it
frequently happens that a large
item in the Repairs estn submitted
by Dts consists of damages which

the bungalow servants should
in a great measure be held
responsible for - As these servants
however are paid by the Revenue
Dept. who have nothing to say to
the making good of the damages,
it is difficult to enforce the
responsibility - These travellers
bungalows were moreover a few
years ago under the D.P.W & I
maintain it would be more
economical to the Govt. if one
Revenue Dept. was held
responsible for the management
of the Establishts & for the repairs
of the bungalows
3. With regard to the transfer
of the maintenance of Dist.
Funds roads to the Municipalities,
as is under contemplation, the
T. Bs directed on these roads
would of course be under him
but not as in his capacity of
Revenue Offr. but of P. W Offr.
4 - The statements already alluded
to in para 1 show that at present
there are no less than 6 servants

Entertained at some 1st Class
bungalows & 5 at some 2nd Class
ones, also that the total cost of
bungalow establishts at present
stands at the very high figure
of Rs 34,800 per annum
The establisht is distributed over
152 bungalows, 20 first, 54 second
& 78 third class -
5 - The proposals made in these
same statements are to reduce
the number of bungalows to 19
first 49 second & 79 third, or
in all to 147, & the total cost of
establisht to Rs 22400 in
round numbers - This represents
a saving of Rs 12,400 per an.
The details of these reductions
will be found in the Statements
6 - Having now been in the Province
for some 14 years, during the last 3 of which
I have travelled over nearly the
whole of it, I am able to state
from experience, that a great
number of the present bungalow
Servants do not reside near the
bungalows, are frequently absent
except when official travellers
are passing, & that when present,

their services are of little use.
I refer to those bungalows where
there are no less than 3 individuals
a Khotwal, a Dandi, & a peon for
supplies alone. I consider therefore
that a sedentary establishment is very essential &
desirable in these days when the
Govt is studying Economy so
closely.

7. I would propose the following
establishts for bungalows of diffs
classes:—

1st Class.

1 Head Servt or boy at — Rs 9
1 Assist ditto — " Rs 5
1 Sweeper & toky — Rs 4
Total — Rs 18

2nd Class

1 Head Servt at — Rs 7
1 Toky Sweeper — Rs 4
Total — Rs 11

3rd Class.

1 Toky & Sweeper — Rs 4

8. Supplies should only be available
at 1st & 2nd Class bungalows &
the head Servt or maty would be
the individual responsible therefor.

being notified in the Gazette
as regard furniture & clothing
he has already passed orders.

11 - Lapped an abstract
statement showing the number
of bungalows proposed for all the
bungalows hereafter also the
new bungalows required. I
also propose that all bungalows
between their class situated on
Provl roads should be maintained
from the Provl budget, & others
on Dist Rd roads from
Dist Funds -

12 - The result of my proposals
are that the present number
of bungalows will be increased
by 9, that the total cost of
Establishts per ann: will be
Rs 12,168 instead of Rs 34,800
& a saving of Rs 22,632 will
be effected, as I anticipate
no falling off in receipts -

In some Districts, particularly
in the Madras the pay I have
allowed to the bungalow servts
may be too low. So instead of
Rs 12,168, the cost might be

the supplies would be only of the most ordinary essential descrip. such as firewood, ghee, milk, green grass, chaff, straw for horses & bullocks, & fowls & eggs. — This restriction would not prevent any headservt, particularly at first class bungalows, arranging to procure other supplies. The above notification should be notified in the Gazette & also written up on a notice board in every 1st & 2nd Class bungalow.

9. The occupancy fees should be as at present:—

1st Class per diem { Single Rs 1, married Rs 1½
2nd " " { Single Re ½, married Re ¾
3rd " — free.

Any occupancy of 3rd Class bungalows exceeding 24 hrs the same fees as for 2nd Class to be levied, Govt offrs travelling on duty excepted. The latter fee is required to prevent the permanent occupancy of such bungalows.

10. Detailed rules will however be drawn up in full directly the proposals are approved by the Diwan & submitted for his confirmation before

Rs 12 800 which will show a clear saving of Rs 22,000
The sum of Rs 12 800 would be apportioned distributed as follows: —

I. Road Budget	Rs 8,500
" Dist Fund	Rs 4,300
Total	Rs 12,800

13. A detail statement is also put up showing which bungalows in each District I would refer first second class, this may be sent to Dept. Comm. for an opinion & passed at once as the Dewan may think fit. The first class bungalows are only 8 & are at the following places: —

1. Shimoga } on the Bangalore
2. Tiptur } Honnavar Road.
3. Tumkur }

4. Sakleshpur } on the Bangalore
5. Hassan } Mangalore road
6. Channarayapatna } via Hassan the
Mangalore Road

7. Hiriur on the Mercara Rd.
8. Chikmagalur for the Planting Community
The Second class have been fixed on the more frequented roads of

Their number is 38 – I only remains to me to add that the abstract has been drawn up according to the present new boundaries of Districts & Revenue Sub Divisions & includes all the P. W. D Lodges recently transferred to the Dept. Comm. Kadur for use as 3rd Class Travellers bungalows –

C. H. Kensington

19/5/84.

Note on proposed changes in Connection with travellers bungalows & their establishment

To the Dewan of Mysore

19/5/84

Abstract Statement of Proposed Changes in the Classes of Bungalows

Districts	Existing bungalows Class 1st	2nd	3rd	Total	Proposed bungalows Class 1st	2nd	3rd	Total	Difference Less Class 1st	2nd	Diff.ce more Class 3rd	Total Diff.ce more	Proposed bungalows on Provincial roads Class 1st	2nd	3rd	Total	on Dist. roads Class 2nd	3rd	Total	Remarks
Bangalore	3	9	1	13	0	7	7	14	3	2	6	1	0	6	5	11	1	2	3	1 new bungalow proposed at Magadi
Kolar	0	4	8	12	0	4	9	13	"	"	1	1	0	3	4	7	1	5	6	Ditto Bowringpet
Tumkur	2	3	12	17	2	1	14	17	"	2	2	"	2	1	4	7	"	10	10	"
Chitaldrug	3	3	9	15	0	4	11	15	3	more 1	2	"	0	4	9	13	"	2	2	"
Mysore	2	6	14	22	1	7	19	27	1	more 2	4	5	1	5	7	13	2	12	14	5 bungalows in Mysore
Hunsur	3	4	4	11	1	5	6	12	2	more 1	2	1	1	3	2	6	2	4	6	1 to be purchased at Hunsur
Shimoga	2	8	18	28	1	3	24	28	1	5	6	"	1	2	12	15	1	12	13	"
Kadur	2	9	13	24	1	6	17	24	1	3	4	"	1	5	6	12	1	11	12	"
Hassan	2	2	4	8	2	0	7	9	"	2	3	1	2	0	4	6	"	3	3	1 new bungalow proposed at Mattikere
Total	19	48	83	150	8	37	114	159	11	10	39	9	8	29	53	90	9	51	69	In all 9 new bungalows

1. A portion of Residency at Nanjangud
2. New bungalow at T. Narsipur
3. " "
4. One under construction at Bevg devankote
5. Kadiala

} Total 5 addtl. bungalows -

C Hull
19/5/84

Parthasarathy Iyengar

Collect and submit

16/8/

(General Serial No. 29.)

1886. 3785.

From Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

Section LB No. Chief
Letter No. 2-86 The Secretary to the Dewan
Serial No. 2 of Mysore.
Dated 13th August 1886.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. } 1886—87.
No. 24

Chief Secretary's No 3707-14
423
710 Instant.

Furnishes opinion on the proposals made by Captain Kensington regarding the Travellers Bungalows and their establishments.

16/8/

No. 245

Tumkur District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Tumkur, 13th August 1886.

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In reply to your docket No. 3107-14/123 of the 10th Instant Calling for my opinion on the proposals made by Captain Kensington regarding the Travellers Bungalows and their Establishments in the Province, I have the honor to state that the establishment may be reduced, but that it should remain under the control of the Deputy Commissioner. — It is not certain that damages were caused necessitating extensive repairs, because the Establishment was not properly Controlled by the Revenue department. — Miscellaneous petty repairs have been now transferred to Revenue officers and the argument based upon dual control and responsibility no longer exists. — The modifications in the Classification also may be sanctioned.

I

a

(General Serial No. 31.)

1886. 4205 P38 318

From Lt. Despatch Commissioner Kaduna District

To

Dated 23rd August 86

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

~~General~~ No. 19

Furnishing opinion on the proposals made by Captain Tennyson in connection with Travellers Bungalows in the Province.

7/9

Camp 20th. 19

Deputy Commissioners Office
Kadur District.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Camp Kadur Dated
the 23d. August 1886

29/8/86

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan in Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of your docket no. 3107-14/123 dated the 10th instant, requesting me to furnish your office at an early date with my opinion on the proposals made by Captain Kensington in connection with Travellers' Bungalows in the Province.

2. In para 2 Captain Kensington advocates the transfer of the Bungalow establishments as "it frequently happens that a large item in the repair Estimates submitted by Executive Engineers Consists of damages which the Bungalow servants should in a great measure

be held responsible for etc: etc:"
and goes on to say "I maintain
that it would be more economical
to Government if one and the
same department was held responsible
for the management of the Establish:
=ment and for the repairs of the
Bungalows." This may be, but I
think the department should be
the Revenue department, who under
the proceedings of Govt: No:
will now have to do to all other
public Buildings repairs up to
Rs: 250. I do not understand
why Captain Kensington thinks
his department would be able
to manage the servants better
than the District authorities,
but if his department are
willing to do so and are will:
:ing to arrange for the supplies
and for bullocks & the other
wants of travellers which the
Revenue authorities with the
assistance of the Bungalow Estia:
blishments now try to do, I
will be far from opposing the
transfer. But if the P.W. Depart:
ment are only to take over
the paying of the servants
and still leave the onus of

getting

List of Travellers' Bungalows in the Kadwa District

	Names of Bungalows.	Present			Proposed			Remarks
		I. Class	II. Class	III. Class	I. Class	II. Class	III. Class	
1.	Chickmaglur	1	"	"	1	"	"	
2	Tarekere	"	1	"	"	1	"	
3.	Kadwa	"	1	"	"	1	"	
4	Santaveri	"	1	"	"	"	1	
5	Narve	"	1	"	"	"	1	
6	Kotighar	"	1	"	"	"	1	
7	Moodgerry	"	1		"	1	0	
8	Aldur	"	1	"	"	"	1	
9	Koppa	"	1	"	"	1	"	
10	Kalsa	"	1	"	"	"	1	
11	Amigenahalli	"	"	1	"	"	1	
12	Sakrepatna	"	"	1	"	"	1	
13.	Kalhatte	"	"	1	"	"	1	
14	Jadakatte	"	"	1	"	"	1	
15	Adyampur	"	"	1	"	"	1	
16	Lakkavalli	"	"	1	"	"	1	
17	Yedahalli	"	"	1	"	1	"	
18	Kavicharpur	"	"	1	"	"	1	
19	Balehonnur	"	"	1	"	1	"	
20	Vastara	"	"	1	"	"	1	
21	Inlebail	"	"	1	"	"	1	
22.	Linganahalli	"	"	1	"	"	1	
23.	Lingadahalli	"	"	1	"	"	1	
	Total	1	9	13	1	6	16	

(General Serial No. 29.)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
3899
20 AUG 86
276

1886.

Parthasarathy Iyengar
Complete = & submit
ML
19/8/

From The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga Dt.

To The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Sec. & B Chief
Dated 2-8-86
Serial No. 3107-14/123
Dated 16th August
Despatched
Received

Enclosures No. -

General No. 285 / No. 276 } 1886-87.

Sir

In reply to your docket No. 3107-14/123 dated 10th Instant, I have the honor to state that I fully agree in the proposals made by Captain Kensington in connection with Travellers Bungalows and their establishments.

2. The only modification I have to propose is, that the Benkipur Bungalow

Bungalow may be kept up as a 2d class Bungalow, as it is on the junction of Chennagiri, Shimoga, Bangalore roads, and a new road now being opened may connect it with the Koppa Malnad. Even at present it is frequently visited by travellers.

3. I may observe that Malebennur has since been transferred to Chitaldroog District.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt. Servt.

W. Madhavarao
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga Dt.

D.R.M.

Press Copy

List of Travellers' Bungalows in the District of Shimoga

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

Sl. No.	Names of Travellers Bungalows	Present			Proposed			Remarks.
		I. Class	II. Class	III. Class	I. Class	II. Class	III. Class	
1.	Shimoga.	1			1			
2	Benkipur	"	1	"	"	"	1	
3	Honnalli	"	1	"	"	1	"	
4	Kumsi	"	1	"	"	"	1	
5	Anantapur	"	1	"	"	"	1	
6	Talguppa	"	1	"	"	"	1	
7	Sagar	"	1	"	"	1	"	
8	Sakkrebail	"	"	1	"	"	1	
9	Holalur	"	"	1	"	"	1	
10	Chennagiri	"	"	1	"	"	1	
11	Sulekere	"	"	1	"	"	1	
12	Shikarpur	"	"	1	"	"	1	
13	Sorab.	"	"	1	"	"	1	
14	Anvatte	"	"	1	"	"	1	
15	Nagar	"	"	1	"	"	1	
16.	Benevalli	"	"	1	"	"	1	
17	Malebennur	"	1	"	"	"	1	Transferred to Chitaldrug Dist.

Shimoga District

	Names of Travellers' Bungalows	Present			Proposed			Remarks
		I. Class	II. Class	III. Class	I. Class	II. Class	III. Class	
18	Kallurkatte	"	"	1	"	"	1	
19	Mandagadde	"	"	1	"	"	1	
20	Theerthahalli	"	"	1	"	"	1	
21	Magravalli	"	"	1	"	"	1	
22	Malur	"	"	1	"	"	1	
23	Agumbe	"	"	1	"	"	1	
24	Jog	"	"	1	"	"	1	
25	Amblebail	"	"	1	"	"	1	
	Total	1	7	17	1	2	22	

Office of Jog

(General Serial No. 29.)

1886-87-4034.

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To The Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 23rd August 1886.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. Two.

General No. } 1886-87.
D No. 433.

Sir,

In reply to your docket C/o 3107-14 dated 10th Instant, I have the honor to forward herewith a memo and a statement giving my opinion on the proposals made by Captain Keating and making certain recommendations in connection with the revision of Establishment

Memo.

1. Transfer of Establishments to the control of Department-Public Works.

I do not recommend this at all. The District Officer should have control over all such Establishments in his District and there should be no divided authority - The Department-Public works should carry out large repairs to Bungalows and not travel beyond its proper sphere.

2. Establishments. I agree with Captain Kensington that the number of Servants maintained in some Bungalows is excessive. Of course in places like Chikmagalur, Hassan and hereafter Harihar, the Bungalows are more used and receipts larger, so more Servants can be

Fees. As proposed by Captain Kensington to remain the same.

Maintenance. I see no objection to the proposal to charge maintenance according to the roads on which the Bungalows are situated.

Classification. I would suggest the following for this District, taking into consideration the requirements of each place and Bungalow.

Hoskote - 1st Class. much frequented

Devanhalli - 2nd Class. with a special Retiral

Dod Ballapur 2nd Class.

Hebbagodi - 2nd Class.

Closepet - 2nd Class.

Chennapatna 3rd Class.

Nelamangala 3rd Class. Either of the D.P.W. lodges will do.

Kunnigode - 3rd Class.

Yellahunka 3rd Class - with special Kotwal.

Sompur — 3rd Class.

Bidadi — 3rd Class.

Kankanhalli - 3rd Class.

Magadi — 3rd Class - a new one may be built.

Solur — 3rd Class.

There would then be for this District 14 Bungalows in all, one 1st Class, 4 2nd Class and 9 3rd Class, at a total monthly cost of Rs: 157-

Comparative Cost. A Statement shewing the present Establishment of Bungalows and the one now proposed is appended. I have entered a Kotwal or a Dandi at some of the places where the Bungalows are to be reduced to 2nd and 3rd Class, because detachments of troops, both Her Majesty's

and His Highness' march about these Roads frequently. There will be a saving of Rs: 74/. a month, but this will not reduce the efficiency and the establishments proposed will be sufficient to meet requirements.

A Muncaster
Dy Commissioner.
Bangalore District.

Dated 23rd August 1886.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Statement shewing the present and proposed Establishement of Travellers Bungalows in the Bangalore District

Taluk	Locality	Present					Proposed					Remarks
		Class	Establishment	Rs	as	p	Class	Establishment	Rs	as	p	
Bangalore	Kumbalgode	1	1 Dundi	4	"	"	3	1 Sweeper	4	"	"	
Do	Yellahanka	2	1. Kotwal	8	"	"	3	1 Sweeper	4	"	"	A Kotwal is necessary. It is near Bangalore and troops are frequently marching about.
			1. Dundi	4	"	"		1 Kotwal	8	"	"	
			1. Maitry	7	"	"						
			1. Watchman	4	"	"						
			1. Loti	4	"	"						
Hoskota	Hoskota	2	1. Dundi	4	"	"	1	1 Kotwal	8	"	"	Bungalow much frequented
			1. Maitry	7	"	"		1 Maitry	7	"	"	
			1. Watchman	4	"	"		1. Watchman	4	"	"	
			1. Loti	4	"	"		1. Sweeper	4	"	"	
Devanhalli	Devanhalli	2	1. Kotwal	8	"	"	2	1. Kotwal	8	"	"	
			1. Dundi	4	"	"		1. Maitry	7	"	"	
			1. Maitry	7	"	"		1. Watchman	4	"	"	
			1. Watchman	4	"	"		1. Sweeper	4	"	"	
			1. Loti	4	"	"						
Doddaballapur	Doddaballapur & Rozipur	2	1. Kotwal	8	"	"	2.	1. Maitry	7	"	"	
			1. Maitry	7	"	"		1. Watchman	4	"	"	
			1. Watchman	4	"	"		1. Sweeper	4	"	"	
			1. Loti	4	"	"						
			Kotwal	8	"	"	3	1. Sweeper	4	"	"	Has much used.

			1. Dundi	4	"	"									
			1. Maity	7	"	"									
			1. Waterman	4	"	"									
			~~1. Loti~~												
			1. Dundi (at Madurara)	4	"	"									He should be transferred to Nelamangala
Do	9	Nelamangal	—	—	—	—			3.	1. Dundi	4	"	"		
										1. Sweeper	4	"	"		One of the two W.P. Lodges could be utilised and would do very well
Kankanhalli	8	Kankanhalli	3	1. Loti	4	"	"		3	1. Sweeper	4	"	"		
Nagady		Solur	2	1. Maity	7	"	"		3	1. Waterman	4	"	"		
				1. Waterman	4	"	"			1. Sweeper	4	"	"		
				1. Loti	4	"	"								
Do	10	Nagady	—	—	—	—	—	—	3	1. Sweeper	4	"	"		A new Bangalow may be built
Closepet	11	Closepet	2.	1. Kotwal	8	"	"		2.	1. Kotwal	8	"	"		Kotwal is needed for troops and others marching to Mysore.
				1. Dundi	4	"	"			1. Maity	7	"	"		
				1. Maity	7	"	"			1. Waterman	4	"	"		
				1. Waterman	4	"	"			1. Sweeper	4	"	"		
				1. Loti	4	"	"								
Do	12	Bidadi	2.	1. Kotwal	8	"	"		3.	1. Dundi	4	"	"		Dundi is necessary for same reason
				1. Dundi	4	"	"			1. Sweeper	4	"	"		— Do —
				1. Maity	7	"	"								
				1. Waterman	4	"	"								
				1. Loti	4	"	"								
Do	13	Channapatam	3	1. Loti	4	"	"		3	1. Sweeper	4	"	"		
Anekal	14	Hebbagode	2.	1. Kotwal	8	"	"		2.	1. Kotwal	8	"	"		A kotwal is necessary for troops marching
				1. Maity	7	"	"			1. Waterman	5	"	"		
				1. Waterman	4	"	"			1 Sweeper	4	"	"		
				1. Loti	4	"	"								
				Total.	231	"	"			Total	157	"	"		

Deputy Commissioners
office.
Bangalore District
Dated 23rd August 86

Compd
wd

A Macaulay
Deputy Commissioner.

List of Travellers' Bungalows in the Bangalore Distric

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Travellers' Bungalows	Existing			Proposed			
	1st class	2nd Class	3rd Class	1st Class	2nd Class	3rd Class	
1. Kuppasandra	1			Handed over to the Resident			
2. Closepett	1	"	"	"	1	"	
3. Selamangala	Munsiff's Court is held			one Required			
4. Kambalgod	1	"	"	"	1	"	
5. Devenahalli	"	1	"	"	1	"	
6. Hebbagod	"	1	"	"	1	"	
Yellahanka	"	1	"	"	1	"	
Hoskote	"	1	"	"	1	"	
Sompur	"	1	"	"	"	1	
Solur	"	1	"	"	"	1	
Chennapatna	"	1	"	"	"	1	
Rozipur or Doddaballapur	"	1	"	"	"	1	
Bidadi	"	1	"	"	"	1	
Kankanahalli	"	"	1	"	"	1	
Yedyur	"	1	"	"	"	1	
Hallagur	"	"	1	"	"	1	
Magadi	"	"	"	"	"	1	one new Bungal proposed.
Total	*2	10	2	"	6	9	* Excluding Kuppasandra.

I. Hallagum. T' Sampalam is not in the Bangalore dist.

II We do not know if K. T' Sampalam has been transferred to the Bangalore dist. Appeal in Rajahpaulachari. We

Please leave us for one more item of information. We would leave to know whether the Halçion Travellers' Bungalow is still in the Bangalore dist as it was previous to 1886 or whether it has been transferred to the Mysore dist.

I trust this will find you in sound health.

Yours Very

S Ramakrishnaiah

19/9.

+ spelt also Hallagur



(4)

1886

From The Governor

Inspector Gen. of Fisheries

Brief

To The Secretary to the

Section L 13 Duncan of Imperd

File No 2 - Dated 3rd September/86

Serial No 6

No. 401

Encl: No: 1

Submits opinion

regarding reduction

of Inquallaro's Bunga-

= loes

Forwarded to Secretary of State

6/9/86

Mr 1

6 - SEP. 86

NEW

No 401 Mysore Deputy Comm's office
Dated 3rd September/86

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to acknow-
-ledge the receipt of your docket No
310 J-14/123 of the 10th August last, for-
-warding Copy of a note by the
Secretary P. W. D on proposed
changes in Connection with Tra-
-vellers Bungalows, in the Pro-
-vince and their establishments
and to state that from the scale
of servants laid down in the
said note for the different class
of Bungalows, it would seem
that the services of the Kotwals
and Dundies are to be dispensed
with, if so, I beg to state that the
travellers will be put to great
inconvenience. The proposed
Head servant will not be able
to obtain the few articles required,
and if he goes away for them,
there will be no one to cook

for the traveller.

As it is now, the Kotwal, and the Dundi procure things on credit as they are required, and the Mati remains busy in the Kitchen, the Kotwal and Dundi get their accounts settled before the traveller leaves the place.

A Mati will not be able to get things on Credit, he will have to be advanced with some money by the traveller as soon as he comes in, and the Mati will be lost to the Traveller till he returns.

The Dundi and Kotwal, appear therefore to be very necessary for the 1st and 2d Class Bungalows.

Captain Kensingtons proposals regarding the reduction and increase of the number of Bungalows from one class to another might stand good.

No. 569.

French Rocks Sub. Div. Office,
French Rocks 27 August 1886.

To,

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Sir,

Reference — Your Memo No. 991 of the 25th instant received today calling for opinion on the late D. P. W. Secretary's Note regarding Travellers' Bungalows.

1. It is proposed (i) to maintain the Bungalows on imperial roads from imperial funds and those on local fund roads from District funds, (ii) to revise the classification of the Bungalows, (iii) to reduce their establishment to the scale suggested and (iv) to place it under the Public Works Department.

2. I quite agree in all these, but if

be decided to retain the Bungalow Kotwals and Dandies it would be well to have them under the Revenue Officers as heretofore as their services will not always be required at the Bungalows and may, therefore, be utilized (as is now done) in the collection of Revenue and also in other minor executive matters. But if the Bungalow establishment be as suggested by Captain Kensington limited to Méties and totiés it may, and perhaps ought to be under those that have the control of the Bungalows viz: the Public Works Department.

3. Some concession is proposed in favor of Officials occupying 3rd class Bungalows i.e. it is proposed to exempt them from paying any fee for any occupancy even after the maximum period of 24 hours. I fail to see any reason for this, as the Officials are amply paid for all the journies they make; nor is any good ground apparent for the continuance of the present exemption

in

favour of the Police and the Survey Office

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obed. servant,

S. K. Lushington Captain

Assistant Commissioner

Hunch Rocks &c. &c.

List of Travellers' Bungalows, present and proposed, in the Mysore District

	Names of Travellers' Bungalows.	Present			Proposed			Remarks.
		I. Class.	II. Class.	III. Class.	I. Class.	II. Class.	III. Class.	
1.	Mysore	1	"	"	"	1	"	
2	Hunsur	1	"	1	1	"	"	
3	Maddur	1	1	1	"	1	"	
4	Mandya	1	II	"	"	1	"	
5	Yelewall	"	1	"	"	"	1	
6	Periyapatna	"	1	"	"	1	"	
7	Antarsanta	"	1	"	"	1	"	
8	Malvalli	"	1	"	"	1	"	
9	Bandipur	"	1	"	"	"	1	
10	Settihalli	"	1	"	"	1	"	
11	Kempapur	"	1	"	"	1	"	
12	Gundlepet	"	1	"	"	1	"	
13	Attikuppa	"	1	"	"	"	1	
14	Nanjungud	"	"	"	"	1	"	

GOVT. CENTRAL PRESS


16 Kadakola
17 Chattanahalli
18 Sagarkatte
19 Belikere
20 Punchavalli
21 Kakenkote
22 Baigur
23 Chamarayanagar
24 Attikalpur
25 Sindwalli
26 Balgola
27 Linigaraja Chattai
28 Tirumakudlu Narsipur
29 Beruja
30 G. Maddur
31 Heggaddevenkote
32 Yedatore
33 Nagamangala
34 Melligeri
35 Yedeala
36 Chinikarli
37 Kikkeri
Total
4
10
19
1
11
25
7

(General Serial No. 31.)

(5)

188 6.

From

To The Deputy Commissioner of Kolar, Hassan & Chitg

Dated
Despatched 7 September 1886
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 4562-4
No. 109

This office circular dy 10th Augt 1886 requesting to be furnished with his opinion regarding the proposed changes in connection with the Travellers' Bungalows in the Province

Requests an early reply to the above

By order

Under Secretary

(General Serial No. 29.)

1886.

From The Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 9th
Despatched 17th } September 1886
Received

Enclosures No. one

General No. 795 } 1886-87.
No. 321

States that he concurs with Captain Kensington in his proposal to transfer the Bungalow Establishment to the P. W. Department and in his classification of the buildings. but begs to recommend the retention of the Kotwal Establishment for the reasons given.

Deputy Commissioner's office,
Kolar District,

No 795/321

Dated 9th September 1886.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No: 3107-14/123 dated the 10th ultimo, forwarding for my opinion copy of a note by the Secretary, P.W.D. on proposed changes in connection with Travellers Bungalows and their Establishments, I have the honor to state that I concur with Captain Kennington in his proposal to transfer the Bungalow Establishments to the Public works Department, and also in his classification of the buildings; but I beg to advocate the retention of Kotwals, whose services have been much needed by travellers, both official and non-official, passing on the more important high roads on which troops also move occasionally. Those servants, whose pay is debited to the head

"Law and justice" in the Budget, do not properly belong to the Bungalow Establishments, and some of them are at places where travellers Bungalows do not at all exist. — Originally, this duty seems to have been confined to the service and execution of Judicial processes, but as it was no longer necessary to utilize their services in that way, they have since been employed on various duties of a miscellaneous nature including that of attending to the wants of travellers, and supplying them with post bullocks &c. Besides they very often render material assistance in the work of revenue collection also. —

2. Under the circumstances represented above, I beg to recommend that the Kotwal Establishment may, for the present, be allowed to remain intact. — I herewith submit a statement shewing the present and proposed distribution of the Kotwals in this District. — I have issued orders for the transfer of the Siddapatta Kotwal to Bowringpete, where his services are needed as already explained in my letter No: 325/149 dated the 1st June 1886. —

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner

Statement shewing the distribution of Kotwals in the Kolar District. —

Taluk.	Name of Station	Existing. —		Proposed. —		Remarks.
		No: of Kotwals	Rate of pay.	No: of Kotwals	Rate of pay.	
Kolar	Kolar Town	1	8	1	8 ✓	
"	Venkatgal	1	7	1	7	
Mulbagal	Mulbagal	1	7	1	7	
Sidlaghatta	Sidlaghatta	1	7	"	"	Transferred to Bowringpete, vide Chief Secretary's
"	Talagavara	1	7	1	7	docket No: 2868/128, dated 12th July 1886. —
"	Jangamkote	1	7	1	7 ✓	
Chikballapur	Chikballapur	1	7	1	7 ✓	
"	Nandi	1	8	1	8	
"	Peresandra	1	7	1	7	
Bagepalli	Gantanvarikalli	1	7	1	7	
Malur	Malur	1	7	1	7 ✓	
Bowringpete	Bowringpete	"	"	1	7	Transferred from the Sidlaghatta Taluk
	Total	11	79	11	79	

Commrs office,
Kolar District,
dated 9th September/86

D.S.

Deputy Commissioner

List of Travellers Bungalows in the Kolar District

	Names of Bungalows	Existing			Proposed			Remarks
		I. Class	II. Class	III. Class	I. Class	II. Class	III. Class	
1	Kolar	"	1	"	"	1	"	
2	Chickballapur	"	1	"	"	1	"	
3	Malur	"	1	"	"	1	"	
4	Jangamkote	"	0	1	"	"	1	
5	Mulbagal	"	"	1	"	"	1	
6	Rajakpad	"	"	1	"	"	1	
7	Thalgara	"	"	1	"	"	1	
8	Guntanawanpalli	"	"	1	"	"	1	
	Varsapur	"	"	1	"	"	1	
	Periyasandra	"	"	1	"	"	1	
	Vengal	"	"	1	"	"	1	
12	Bowringpett	"	"	"	"	"	1	New one proposed
	Total	"	3	8	"	3	9	

No. 284.
195.
Encl: None.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Chitaldurg District
Dated the 11th September 1876
Despd: 14th — Ditto —

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldurg District

To
The Chief Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore.

Sir,

In reply to your docket
No. 3107/14 of the 10th ultimo for-
warding for opinion Public
Works Secretary's Notes on cer-
tain Contingent proposals in con-
nection with Travellers Bunga-
lows and their Establishments
I have the honor to submit
as follows:—

2 The Public Works Secre-
tary proposes the maintenance
of fixed travellers Bungalows
at every Taluk town and
I fully concur with him
in the proposals made by that
Officer save in the case of
the Chitaldurg Taluk
Pavilion Travellers Bungalow
which I recommend may be
retained in their present status
Chitaldurg being the Head Quar-
ters

1. Chitaldurg Travellers Bungalow from 1st to 12th Sept. 11 Days
2. Hiriyur " " " " 1 "
3. Hosdurga " " " " 1 "
4. Holalkere " " " " 1 "
5. Chellakere " " " " 1 "
6. Davangere " " " " 1 "
7. Harihar " " " " 1 "
8. Honnali " " " " 1 "
9. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
10. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
11. Sagar " " " " 1 "
12. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
13. Kadur " " " " 1 "
14. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
15. Kadur " " " " 1 "
16. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
17. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
18. Sagar " " " " 1 "
19. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
20. Kadur " " " " 1 "
21. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
22. Kadur " " " " 1 "
23. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
24. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
25. Sagar " " " " 1 "
26. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
27. Kadur " " " " 1 "
28. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
29. Kadur " " " " 1 "
30. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
31. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
32. Sagar " " " " 1 "
33. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
34. Kadur " " " " 1 "
35. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
36. Kadur " " " " 1 "
37. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
38. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
39. Sagar " " " " 1 "
40. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
41. Kadur " " " " 1 "
42. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
43. Kadur " " " " 1 "
44. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
45. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
46. Sagar " " " " 1 "
47. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
48. Kadur " " " " 1 "
49. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
50. Kadur " " " " 1 "
51. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
52. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
53. Sagar " " " " 1 "
54. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
55. Kadur " " " " 1 "
56. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
57. Kadur " " " " 1 "
58. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
59. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
60. Sagar " " " " 1 "
61. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
62. Kadur " " " " 1 "
63. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
64. Kadur " " " " 1 "
65. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
66. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
67. Sagar " " " " 1 "
68. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
69. Kadur " " " " 1 "
70. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
71. Kadur " " " " 1 "
72. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
73. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
74. Sagar " " " " 1 "
75. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
76. Kadur " " " " 1 "
77. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
78. Kadur " " " " 1 "
79. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
80. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
81. Sagar " " " " 1 "
82. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
83. Kadur " " " " 1 "
84. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
85. Kadur " " " " 1 "
86. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
87. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
88. Sagar " " " " 1 "
89. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
90. Kadur " " " " 1 "
91. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
92. Kadur " " " " 1 "
93. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
94. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
95. Sagar " " " " 1 "
96. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
97. Kadur " " " " 1 "
98. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
99. Kadur " " " " 1 "
100. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
101. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
102. Sagar " " " " 1 "
103. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
104. Kadur " " " " 1 "
105. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
106. Kadur " " " " 1 "
107. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
108. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
109. Sagar " " " " 1 "
110. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
111. Kadur " " " " 1 "
112. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
113. Kadur " " " " 1 "
114. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
115. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
116. Sagar " " " " 1 "
117. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
118. Kadur " " " " 1 "
119. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
120. Kadur " " " " 1 "
121. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
122. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
123. Sagar " " " " 1 "
124. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
125. Kadur " " " " 1 "
126. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
127. Kadur " " " " 1 "
128. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
129. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
130. Sagar " " " " 1 "
131. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
132. Kadur " " " " 1 "
133. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
134. Kadur " " " " 1 "
135. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
136. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
137. Sagar " " " " 1 "
138. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
139. Kadur " " " " 1 "
140. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
141. Kadur " " " " 1 "
142. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
143. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
144. Sagar " " " " 1 "
145. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
146. Kadur " " " " 1 "
147. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
148. Kadur " " " " 1 "
149. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
150. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
151. Sagar " " " " 1 "
152. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
153. Kadur " " " " 1 "
154. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
155. Kadur " " " " 1 "
156. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
157. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
158. Sagar " " " " 1 "
159. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
160. Kadur " " " " 1 "
161. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
162. Kadur " " " " 1 "
163. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
164. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
165. Sagar " " " " 1 "
166. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
167. Kadur " " " " 1 "
168. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
169. Kadur " " " " 1 "
170. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
171. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
172. Sagar " " " " 1 "
173. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
174. Kadur " " " " 1 "
175. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
176. Kadur " " " " 1 "
177. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
178. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
179. Sagar " " " " 1 "
180. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
181. Kadur " " " " 1 "
182. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
183. Kadur " " " " 1 "
184. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
185. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
186. Sagar " " " " 1 "
187. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
188. Kadur " " " " 1 "
189. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
190. Kadur " " " " 1 "
191. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
192. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
193. Sagar " " " " 1 "
194. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
195. Kadur " " " " 1 "
196. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
197. Kadur " " " " 1 "
198. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
199. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
200. Sagar " " " " 1 "
201. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
202. Kadur " " " " 1 "
203. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
204. Kadur " " " " 1 "
205. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
206. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
207. Sagar " " " " 1 "
208. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
209. Kadur " " " " 1 "
210. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
211. Kadur " " " " 1 "
212. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
213. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
214. Sagar " " " " 1 "
215. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
216. Kadur " " " " 1 "
217. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
218. Kadur " " " " 1 "
219. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
220. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
221. Sagar " " " " 1 "
222. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
223. Kadur " " " " 1 "
224. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
225. Kadur " " " " 1 "
226. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
227. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
228. Sagar " " " " 1 "
229. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
230. Kadur " " " " 1 "
231. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
232. Kadur " " " " 1 "
233. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
234. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
235. Sagar " " " " 1 "
236. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
237. Kadur " " " " 1 "
238. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
239. Kadur " " " " 1 "
240. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
241. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
242. Sagar " " " " 1 "
243. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
244. Kadur " " " " 1 "
245. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
246. Kadur " " " " 1 "
247. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
248. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
249. Sagar " " " " 1 "
250. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
251. Kadur " " " " 1 "
252. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
253. Kadur " " " " 1 "
254. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
255. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
256. Sagar " " " " 1 "
257. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
258. Kadur " " " " 1 "
259. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
260. Kadur " " " " 1 "
261. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
262. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
263. Sagar " " " " 1 "
264. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
265. Kadur " " " " 1 "
266. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
267. Kadur " " " " 1 "
268. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
269. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
270. Sagar " " " " 1 "
271. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
272. Kadur " " " " 1 "
273. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
274. Kadur " " " " 1 "
275. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
276. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
277. Sagar " " " " 1 "
278. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
279. Kadur " " " " 1 "
280. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
281. Kadur " " " " 1 "
282. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
283. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
284. Sagar " " " " 1 "
285. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
286. Kadur " " " " 1 "
287. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
288. Kadur " " " " 1 "
289. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
290. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
291. Sagar " " " " 1 "
292. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
293. Kadur " " " " 1 "
294. Tarikere " " " " 1 "
295. Kadur " " " " 1 "
296. Shimoga " " " " 1 "
297. Tirthahalli " " " " 1 "
298. Sagar " " " " 1 "
299. Chickmagalur " " " " 1 "
300. Kadur " " " " 1 "

ings by the District and Taluq and Rampur Bungalows on the Bangalore Chennai & Bellary Imperial Roads being generally and largely frequented.

3. At Gulak there is at present a 3rd Class Bungalow which may be raised to the 2nd Class, adding a few furniture from other Bungalows for the convenience of Travellers with the addition of the Establishment proposed by the Secretary Public Works Department.

4. The Scale of Establishment proposed by the Public Works Secretary has my approval but I would beg to suggest that the Office of Khansamah and Khansdi in the Khitmatgar & Travellers' Bungalow need not be done away with, as their services will be very much required in supplying Bullocks, Carts &c. for public purposes.

5. In the list of Bungalows attached to the aforesaid correspondence the 3rd Class Bungalows at Gooty and Nallamanchi have been omitted, and I would suggest that the latter may also be transferred

List of Travellers Bungalows in the Chittaldroog District

GOVERNMENT MYSORE

	Names of Travellers Bungalows.	Present			Proposed			Remarks
		I. Class	II. Class	III. Class	I. Class	II. Class	III. Class	
1	Chittaldroog	1	"	"	"	1	"	
2	Harihar	1	"	"	"	1	"	
3.	Hiriyur	1	"	"	"	1	"	
4	Bomenakere	1	"	"	"	1	"	
5.	Chelakere	"	1	"	"	1	"	
6	Davengeri	"	1	"	"	"	1	
7	Bharmasagar	"	1	"	"	"	1	
8	Rampur	"	1	"	"	"	1	
9	Vizapur	"	"	1	"	"	1	
10	Jogimatti	"	"	1	"	"	1	
11	Ahagod	"	"	1	"	"	1	
12	Tallak	"	"	1	"	"	1	
13	Hanagal	"	"	1	"	"	1	
14	Javagandanahalli	"	"	1	"	"	1	
15	Yevrahalli	"	"	1	"	"	1	
16	Syamangala	"	"	1	"	"	1	
17	Holalkere	"	"	1	"	"	1	
	Total	4	4	9	"	5	12	

Do in recommending the [illegible] bungalows to Travellers [illegible] the latter being raised to 2nd Class

(8)

(General Serial No. 29.)

1886.

From The Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District

To The Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 13th } September.
Despatched 13th }
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 814 } 1886—87.
No. 328

Chief Secretary's docket No. 4562/169, dated the 7th Instant.

In reply, has the honor to state that the reference regarding the proposed Changes in connection with the Travellers Bungalows has been replied in this office letter No. 4506 795/321 of the 9th Instant.

Deputy Commissioner.

a

(General Serial No. 29.)

(9)

5-335

188

HASSAN DISTRICT.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE.

308

From. 2-86

To Chief

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 1st October 86.

Despatched "

Received

Enclosures No. 2

General No. 1699 / No. 353 } 1886.

As called for in Chief Secretary's Docket No. 3103-14 dated 10th Oct. 86, I beg to submit a memorandum on the note forwarded regarding Travellers Bungalows.-

Deputy Commissioner

Hassan

TO BE RETURNED

Memo

Chief Secretary's Docket, No. 3107 — 14/123, dated 10th August 1886, forwarding for opinion a note on Traveller's Bungalows and proposed changes.

1. The note deals with the following points

1st. Under what department shall the Bungalow Servants be placed. Revenue as heretofore or P.W.D.

2nd. Alteration in the classification of bungalows.

3rd. Fees for bungalows

4th Changes in the personnel & designation of bungalow Establish-ments.

5th The Budgets to which the Bungalow Expenditure should be debited with re-ference to the class of road on which the Bungalows are respectively situated.

1st Under what Department shall the Bungalow Ser-vants be placed. Revenue as heretofore or D. P. W. —

2- As to point 1- this is a mere matter of form & entirely for the pleasure of Govt. The public interests will be served to the best of its ability by every

Department of Govt.
If one Department claims
superiority in manage-
ment to another
it is not for the latter
to controvert it.
Repairs to furniture
are attributed to
carelessness of ill
supervised servants.
It may be so. But
in my limited ex-
perience of Bungalow
furniture, it has ap-
peared that Bungalow
furniture are like
domestic furniture
and should in pro-
portion to material,
and workmanship

quite as much as to
case of Serampore. Sim
-ple and strong and
well considered
patterns like those
now at Kole Hanspur
should wear better
than those at Chenna
-nagpatna for mis-
-sance Cane bottom
shelter bugs, and to
invite attention to
these pests, a benevo
-lent but mischie-
-vous traveller tears
the rattan bottom.
Ill Seasoned wood
put together by
local indifferent
workmen Splits

of course - and so forth.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

2nd Alteration in the classification of bungalows.

3. So far as the Kolar District is concerned the proposals refer to the following places -

	present Class	proposed Class.
Bowringpet	1	3
Belur	2	3
Arsikere	2	3
Hirisave	2	3.

I can think of no objection to this change particularly in view of the opinion to be submitted in a subsequent paragraph as to fees. The reduction will

be suitable as the present high fees are somewhat prohibitive in these cases.

3rd Bungalow fees.

4. The rates proposed for single travellers are 1 R. ½ R. and Nil for the 1st, 2nd and 3rd classes respectively. There is no reason why a 3rd class bungalow should be occupied free. A small charge will go towards the cost of establishment & expense of whitewash and

cleanliness. I would
propose a 4 annas
rate for 3rd class
bungalows and make
no distinction in
the rate between
Married and Single
Double Space is taken
by the Married and
practically (be the
theory what it might)
while a bungalow
(Except the very
large ones) is oc-
-cupied by a Married
Couple other travellers
are kept away.
I would also make
no distinction bet-
-ween a full day

and a fraction of a day. This leads to abuse. When once a traveller stops his carriage and gets into the bungalow for rest, he should pay the day's fee. -

4th Charges (being reductions in a portion of the Establishment and additions to pay in the remainder)

5. The proposed changes come to this. In the case of 1st class bungalows abolish the out-door Establishment and raise the status of the indoor ones they may be called domestic Servants, dispense with the Kotwal and peon

came into force.

The Kotwal and the peon are as useful to all classes of travellers whether or not the travellers stop in the bungalow. To the European traveller who lodges in the bungalow, good butlers will serve only the latter. I would not disturb the existing staff which is so well suited to so many and varied re-quirements of individual travellers

and constitute a
well paid butler and
assistant. In the
case of the other bunga-
-lows abolish the
outdoor Servants'
altogether, and keep
the indoor Servants
who are domestic
(So to speak) - These
proposals do not
cover the requirements
of all classes of tra-
-vellers and seem
to have been for-
-mulated before
the policy of provid
-ing Separate Cook
rooms for Caste "
Hindu travellers

and Requirements mar-
-ching. The annexed
Statement shows in
one view the Existing
Staff for each bunga-
-low. -

5th The Budgets
to which the
Expenditure
should be debited
and the fees are-
-dicted. -

6. That Bungalows
on Provincial roads
should be maintain-
-ed from Provincial
Funds and those
on Local Fund roads
from Local Funds
is a practical ap-
-plication of a
reasonable princi-
-ple and may be
adopted. —

D. Macnaghten
Dy Commissioner
Hazara Distt
"

	Mesdi	Peon	Waterman	Sobdis Sweeper
	Pay	Pay	Pay	Pay
	✓	✓	✓	✓
	✓	5	4	4
	✓	5	4	4
	✓	—	4	6
	✓	—	✓	✓
	✓	—	4	4
	✓	—	✓ 4	4
	✓	—	✓ 4	✓ 4
	✓	—	✓ 4	✓
	—	—	—	4
	—	—	—	
	—	—	—	
	—	—	—	
	—	—	—	
	—	—	—	
	49	10	28	34

Mest 7 Mazdoor/Mate 7 wat 4 Tot 6

Cleaning Patan Mates 2 7 = 14
wat 2 2 4 = 8
Peon = 5
Tot 2 2 4 = 13

5 Sweepers for 3rd class Bungalows at 4 Rs each changed to District unit

226

~~Rs 2 4 2~~ per mensem
or Rs ~~2 9 0 4~~ per annum.

2710

226 2712

Executive Engineer

Dy Commissioner
Kapandistrict

List of Traveller's Bungalows in the District of Hassan

	Names of Travellers' Bungalows.	Present			Proposed			Remarks.
		I. Class	II. Class	III. Class	I. Class	II. Class	III. Class	
1.	Hassan	1	"	"	1	"	"	
2.	Chennaroyapatna	1	"	"	1	"	"	
3.	Saklespur	1	"	"	1	"	"	
4.	Banavar	1	"	"	"	"	1	
5.	Belur	"	1	"	"	"	1	
6.	Arsekere	"	1	"	"	"	1	
7.	Hirisave	"	1	"	"	"	1	
8.	Gandasi	"	"	1	"	"	1	
9.	Marnahalli	"	"	1	"	"	1	
10.	Dudigenhalli	"	"	1	"	"	1	
11.	Pollium	"	"	1	"	"	1	
12.	Arkalgud	"	"	1	"	"	1	
13.	Hole Narsipur	"	"	1	"	"	1	
14.	Makkihalli	"	"	"	"	"	1	New one.
	Total	4	3	6	3	"	11	

1
T. 63

(General Serial No. 31.)

1887.

From R

Section 28

File No 2-86

Serial No 12

To The Secretary D.C.L.W

Dated 24th Octr/87

Despatched

Received

Camp

~~General~~ No. 1043 / 1601

No.

Enclosures No.

Transmitting the Same &c

Camp 20/6/01 Encl.

24/10/87.

To The Secy P.W. Dept

Sir

not in the file
4/2/19

I have the honor to forward herewith 1094/187 dated 22-3-87 transferring the application of the Inhabitants of Jhansi for the use of the Jhansi Municipal Funds, I am directed to forward a precis of the Resolution at present under the subject. If you consider them sufficient, ~~they~~ they will be printed & circulated & all the Members. I am to request the favour of early Reply.

I have &c

24/10

R.G.

Secy Jhansi

Subject

D

F.C.

I may refer to the Revised Rules together with the opinion of the D.C.'s duly sent to ~~the~~ ~~Deputy~~ ~~Commrs~~ by ~~Commrs~~ their opinions

R.K.B.

Before embodying the suggestions in a tabular memo 9/4/87

B

As regards 5 (a) Mr. Thomson's opinion of the Deputy Commissioners of Districts may be called for. As Rules are (now) amended) I think so

A11

25/12

1881/88.

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT.

No. 5043

From 1907

OFFICE OF THE
5771
83

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore -

Section 23

No. 2-876-87

Dated Bangalore 10th Nov 87

Serial No 13

Despatched

Enclosure No. Nil

Subject.

Forwarding Copies of Remarks by P.W. Secretary and Asst Secty. on the Subject of the Travellers' Bungalow Rules, and recommending that all heads of departments be consulted and the opinions of the Deputy Commrs be taken on each of the points brought forward by them.

No. 3045
907.

Mysore P. W. Department
Central Office -
Bangalore 10th November 1897.

From,

Lieut-Colonel C. Bowen, R.E.,
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
P. W. Deptt.

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore. —

Sir,

I have the honor in reply to your letter No. 1601 of 24th October, to forward copies of remarks by myself and the Assistant Secretary, Major Manning, on the subject of the Travellers' Bungalow Rules. —

II. — The subject is one of importance, in view of the extension of the Railway through the Province and of the expansion of the Gold Mining Industry, which in course of time will bring into Mysore a rapidly increasing influx of visitors. It is therefore desirable that before adopting a definite set of rules, the subject should be thoroughly ventilated, and I would recommend that all Heads of Departments

be

ve consulted and the opinions
Deputy Commissioner be taken on
each of the points brought forward
by the Assistant Secretary and myself.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant.

C. Lowen. Lt Colonel, R.E.
Secretary to the Govt of Kelly pore
R.W. Keyte

Bangalore
10th November
1884

ESD
ans

Memo: by the Public Works Secretary.

GENERAL EXPLANATORY NOTE

Rule 14, seems to me ambiguous. It does not mean, I presume, that if a traveller occupy a 1st class bungalow for more than 24 hours, he has to pay Rupees 2/- for the second day and so on I have always read it that he pays Rupee 1/- for each day of 24 hours he is in occupation.-

√ Rule 18 seems to me at variance with Rule 4.

√ We ought to have a rule, I think, regarding quasi permanent occupancy. Non-travellers - for instance Contractors and Engineers on the Railway - are now allowed to occupy bung-alows for lengthened periods to the inconvenience of "bona fide" travellers. They are supposed to be bound to turn out on arrival of a new comer, but this they do not do. There should be some specific order on the subject. It would be a "dog-in-the-manger" rule not to allow them to live in a bungalow, in

in the absence of others requiring rooms, but there should be some definite understanding about their right and title. I have heard complaints about this. —

It seems to me a question whether a fee ought not to be charged for 3rd class bungalows also. —

There should be a rule regarding periodical inspection of the bungalow buildings, &c., &c. —

2/11/84.

(Signed) C. Bowen Coll. Bg.
Secty. to the Govt. of Mysore P.W.D.

Memo: by the Offg. Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore P.W.D.

Remarks by the Secretary P.W. Department.

Rules for Travellers' Bungalows. —

No. 1. May stand. } Yes — this is very advisable.
No. 2. After "one room" may be added "with a bath room". —
No. 3. May stand.
No. 4 — Several bungalows have no compound walls

walls now hedges, and I think it would be a great hardship to send cattle outside, the more so, as cows are frequently now kept by the bungalow ser=
=vants inside the compound whereas by this rule, tra=
=vellers' cattle would have to remain outside. —

I agree: Practically travellers' cattle are kept inside the compound - Dauk bullocks are tethered to the trees, &c=, to which I see no objection -

Nos 6–12 inclusive may stand -

Nos 13 and 14, I would modi=
=fy as follows: —

All bungalows to be of one class, the fee of occupan=
=cy for every 24 hours, or portion of 24 hours to be as follows: —

For each adult	Rs	–8–
" " married couple	"	–12–
" " Child under 12 years	"	–4–

This is an important innovation. Uniformity commands itself and the result would probably be that the 3d class bungalows would be better looked after than they are now, but the total of fees realized would materially fall off. —

No 15 may stand -

This would be very unpopular. —

No 16. I think this should be modified and no fee charged. The great objection to this rule is that practically it prohibits travellers utilising

I quite agree; but I doubt if the rule is ever enforced. It ought to be abandoned. —

the

the bungalows compounds or Stables, when they are not with their Originals - As their Servants would charge the fees and never go near the bungalows, and thus the advantage of the bungalows would be completely lost, besides the cost appears excessive compared with the accompan. =cy of the bungalow and all the Outhouses and Stables. —

No. 17. Provided for in rule Substituted for Nos. 13 and 14. —

No. 18. May stand. —

19 - Ditto - but prices should be reduced for old articles and for partial breakages i.e. for shade only of metal Candlestick Rs. 15/- is an absurd price. —

No. but I would have the price list overhauled. It would be difficult to make a distinction between old and new articles and between partial breakages and complete ones. Complications and disputes would arise. The measure is a protective one simply.

No. 20 I have suggested only one class of bungalow. —

Some additional rules appear

appear necessary:—

A limit of occupancy is required for travellers, except Government officials and cases of sickness, when the bungalow is required for other travellers, say 3 days.—

A list of the articles in each bungalow should be printed and posted on the notice board. They should be examined half yearly by the Amildar, old articles replaced and damaged ones repaired. The bungalow servants should be held responsible for all fittings such as door locks, window fastenings, bolts, handles, ropes, to ventilators, &c. &c. These are always wanting and a great source of inconvenience and annoyance to travellers.——

A fee should be levied for the use of the kitchens by Vegetarian hindus when such do not use the bungalow.

This

Some rules on this subject is very necessary. I rather think there should be some definite limit for all Government officials excepted. At present Bungalows are virtually occupied permanently with the permission of the Deputy Commissioners.—

Certainly.—

I quite agree.

They have not the advantages of furniture, servants, supplies, &c. they have merely the shelter of a roof. This proposal is therefore questionable.—

this might be fixed at ½ or ¼ the bungalow fee. —

The buildings should be inspected and reported on ½ yearly as to their condition by P.W. Department. —

Yes. —

Quasi permanent occupancy Iam against in toto. Bungalows are for travellers and are given for permanent occupation to persons on the ground there is no other accommodation available, how then on a moments notice when a traveller suddenly arrives, are they to turn out bag and baggage. As a matter of fact they neither can do so, nor will they do so, therefore it behoves us to adopt the only course, though it may be a dog-in-the-manger one, and prohibit permanent occupancy —

It is a concession, but some definite ruling is required. It is difficult to say how and where the line should be drawn. At all events applicants should not be given permission to live in bungalows "ad libitum" — they should state a period and if this be allowed stick to it. —

The Channapatna Travellers' Bungalow

This should be brought to the notice of the Deputy Commissioner.

The allotment of Establish-ments evidently requires revision. —

undoubtedly.

Bungalow is now occupied by a Permanent Waybagster who does not turn out when travellers arrive. —

I have suggested one class as regards pay, as regards servants and kit, there may be 1, 2 or even 3 classes. As regards servants I would remark that at several bungalows they are very much in excess of requirements, and at others the essential one, a Sweeper, is wanting. There are some bungalows with 6 servants. I would suggest that all have a Sweeper, bound to live on the premises for others a waterman may be added, and for those most fre-quented a Matey (Cook) who should also be Caterer, in very exceptional cases a dandi, or peon, may be added to assist him. One and all these servants should

should live on the premises where the village does not adjoin the bungalow. —

The bungalow servants at present are frequently absent and not to be found without giving them a much longer warning than travellers are usually able to do, besides they are often not of the class suited to supply the wants of travel-lers. The waterman may be a caste hindu to suit the wants of Vegetarian hindus. —

This is quite true.

P. W. Officers use Travel-lers Bungalows, I may say, more than any other persons, and their suggestions should carry proportionate weight —

/Signed/ C. Bowen, Lt.-Col. R.E.,
Secty. to the Govt. of
Mysore P.W. Dept.

6/11/87 —

/Signed/ C. H. De. Cunningham
Major R.E.,
Offg. Secretary, Mysore
P.W.D.

True Copies —

C. H. De Cunningham Major R.E.,
Offg. Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
P.W. Dept.

10/11/87.

Encl. and.

D
T. 64

(General Serial No. 31.)

1887

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 6732

No. 559

Letter missing
8/4/2/15

Survey No. 47.

7472

1888.

From

The Superintendent,
Mysore Rly, Sub

Section 115
No 2 To 286

To The Chief Secretary
to the Diwan of Mysor

Dated

Despatched 19th January 1888

Received

ENCLOSURES No.

No. 869 of 1888.

This docket No. 6132-
154

dated 14th Instant, re
questing the opinion of
the undersigned on the
subject of travellers'
bungalow rules. —

In reply to the above
has the honor to reques
to be furnished with
a copy of Travellers'
bungalow

Bungalow Rules alluded to.

H. Grant

Superintendent

Mysore Rev, Survey.

9/1.64

(General Serial No. 31)

Dept 1 (5)

188 .

From ~~Rangoon~~ 2-86

Serial No. 16

To The Supt. of Rev. Survey

Dated 23/1/88

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 6273

No. 78

His Docket No. 869 of the 19th inst.

In reply has the honor to forward herewith a Copy of the Travellers' Bungalow Rules now in force.

Rangoon

23/1/88

(b)

Enclosures
File
Ref

(General Serial No. 2064)

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE.
26 JAN 88
1888.
DEWAN'S CONFIDENTIAL CIRCULAR.

From The Comptroller
Mysore

No. 23

To The Chief Secretary
Serial No. to the Govt of
Mysore

Dated 24 January

Despatched 25

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 538
No. 623

Sir

With reference to your docket No 6132-42 dated 18th January 1888 forwarding for my early opinion copies of remarks by the Public works Secretary and Assistant Secretary on the Travellers Bungalow Rules, I have the honor to request that I may be favored with a Copy of the Travellers Bungalow Rules now in force in the Mysore Province as

as they are not forth-Coming in my
office

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servt
A Mungenast
Comptroller

T. 64

(General Serial No. 31.) Draft

188 (7)

From

Dept. 213
File No. 2-86.
Serial No. 18

To The Comptroller

Dated 25/1/88

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 6351
No. 463

This office docket
No. 6132-42 of the
15th instant.

In continuation
of the above, has
the honour to forward
herewith a copy of
the Travellers'
Bungalow Rules.

B.O.

Summary

W. D. 31/1

Circular Orders

Please put up the
note containing
the Rules. Direction
a Docket be made
[illegible]

(General Serial No. 31.)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
7802
31 JAN 88
4804

9

1888.

From The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

2 B 1

To Under 2-86
The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore. 20

Dated 28 January

Despatched 30

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 1646 } 1888.
No. 53 4837

I do docket to 6132-42
159
dated the 18th instant,
forwarding for opinion
copies of remarks by
the Public Works Secretary
and Assistant Secretary
on the subject of revising
the Rules about travellers'
Bungalows.

Adverting to the above,
I have the honor to re-
quest the favor of being
furnished with a copy
of the Rules alluded to.

I have the honor
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

P.C.

1/2

(10)

7768
38
349

(General Serial No. 29.)

188 8

From The Deputy Commr
Chital droog Distt

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 25 January 188-

Despatched 27

Received

Enclosures None

General No. 373 } 1887—88.
No. 342

Docket No. 6132-42
159

Dated 17th Instant forwarding for opinion the alterations proposed in the Travellers Bungalow Rules by the Assistant Secretary and Secretary P. W. D.—

Has the honor to request that a copy of the rules may be kindly forwarded as they are not available here—

Deputy Commr

Camp
Talak.

(11)

Called 1/2 8

Survey No. 47.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
7821
1-FEB-88
191

188

From The Superintendent Mysore Rev. Survey

Serial No. 22 2-86

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated
Despatched } 1st February 1888
Received

ENCLOSURES No. 1 Memo

No. 908 of 1888.

His docket No. 6132-42/154 of the 17th Ultimo, asking for opinion on the Traveller's Bungalow Rules.

In compliance with the above begs to forward the accompanying Memo by the undersigned on the Rules

Rules in question.

D. Smith
Superintendent
Myers Ra. Survey

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Memo by the Superintendent of Revenue Survey on the Rules for Travellers' Bungalows.

Rule No. 1.— No remark necessary.

Rule No. 2.— Add the words "and Bath room" after "one room".

Rule No. 3.— No remark.

Rule No. 4.— This rule is too stringent.

Rules Nos. 5 to 12 inclusive.— No remark.

Rule No. 13.— The fees laid down are fair and reasonable but there should be a fee for 3rd class Bungalows also. No

Bungalow

Bungalow should be entirely free. ——— No remark. ——— Rule No. 14.

This Rule may apply to cook rooms and godowns, but it ought not to be made to apply to mere use of the Compound, the pitching of a tent, for instance, for the sake of shade. ——— Rule No. 15.

This Rule should be abandoned as unworkable. ——— Rule No. 16.

No remark. ——— Rule No. 17.

No remark. ——— Rule No. 18.

No remark. ——— Rule No. 19.

Rule No. 20.— A fee should be charged. This rule might go out here and be incorporated in Rules 13 & 14.

Superintendent
Mysore Revenue Survey.

Survey Supdt's Office
Bangalore 1st Feb: 88

(General Serial No. 29.)

1888. 7975

595

From The Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

To The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan

Serial No 23

Dated 22 February

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 700 } 188 —8
No.

His Letter No 6132-42/159 dated 17th Ultimo, forwarding for opinion copies of remarks by the P.W. Secretary and Asst Secretary on the Travellers Bungalow rules.—

Has the honor to request the favor of being furnished with a copy of the Travellers Bungalow rules, referred to in the above

Dy Commissioner

Comp
MM

Calling 2/2

(General Serial No. 29.)

7856 (13)

1888

From

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE 1 SEP 88 MYSORE

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Serial No 214

Dated

Despatched 2-2-88

Received

Enclosures No. /

General No. 3480
No.

Sir,

With reference to your No. 6132-42/159 dated 18th Instant forwarding copies of remarks by the Public Works Secretary and Assistant Secretary on the travellers' bungalow rules and requesting to be favored with an opinion on the same, I have the honor to forward herewith my remarks on the rules in question.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most Obedient Servt,
Hy Cayley
for Comptroller

Travellers' Bungalow Rule.	Memo by the Asst. Secretary P.W.D.	Remarks by the Secretary P.W.D.	Remarks by the Comptroller Mysore Govt.

Further as it is, these bungalows Cost a large sum of money and the proposed Change of rates will make the receipts less and increase the net cost to State.

Child under 12 years. 0-4-0

This would be very unpopular.

Quite so.-

No 16.

I think this should be modified & no fee charged. The great objection to this rule is that practically it prohibits travellers utilizing the Bungalows' Compounds or Stables when they are not with their animals. As their Servants would Charge the fees and never go near the Bungalows and thus the advantage of the bungalows would be Completely lost, besides the Cost appears excessive Compared with the accompany of the Bungalow and all the outhouses & stables.

I do not Concur. It is desirable to make a fair Charge for the use of the Stables or the Compound, proportionate to the number of Carriages & Cattle. The Servants have good protection and a shelter for themselves and it is only fair that a payment should be made in return for these benefits. Instead of having half the rate, it may be provided that 2 annas for a Carriage, 2 annas for a horse or pony, one anna for a single head of Cattle & three pies for a sheep or similar animal may be Charged. The allegation that Servants will be tempted to receive the Charges from their masters & eschew the Bungalows is no argument affecting the question. Servants may Swindle their masters in many other ways and it is the

Calendy
2/2

(General Serial No. 29.)

7856 (13)

1888.

From

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE
1 SEP 8
MYSORE

25
2-86
24

To The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated

Despatched 2-2-88

Received

Enclosures No. /

√

General No. 3480
No.

Sir,

With reference to your
No. 6132-42/159 dated 18 instant
forwarding copies of remarks
by the Public Works Secretary
and Assistant Secretary on the
travellers' bungalow rules
and requesting to be favored
with an opinion on the same,
I have the honor to forward
herewith my remarks on the
rules in question.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most Obedient Servt,
Hy Eagles
for Comptroller

Travellers' Bungalow Rule	Memo by the Asst. Secretary P.W.D.	Remarks by the Secretary P.W.D.	Remarks by the Comptroller Mysore Govt.
			book-out of the masters to protect themselves. It may perhaps be provided that receipts should be given for amounts so collected and this will be a sufficient protection against the frauds of Servants.
No 19.	may stand but prices should be reduced x x x.	No, but I would have the price list overhauled. It would be difficult to make a distinction between old and new articles and between partial breakages and Complete ones. Complications and disputes would arise. The measure is a protective one simply. —	I Concur in the remarks of the Secretary P.W.D. But at the same time the prices should be reduced and if Separate parts of one article are Capable of being Separately valued and paid for, it should be done.
No 20.	(1) A limit of occupancy is required for travellers except Govt. officials and Cases of Sickness, when the bungalow is required for other travellers say 3 days. (2) A list of the articles in each bungalow should be printed & pasted on the notice board. (3) They should be examined half yearly by the Amildar, old articles replaced and damaged ones repaired. (4) The Bungalow Servants should be held responsible for all fittings, such as, door locks, window fastenings, bolts, handles, ropes to ventilators &c. These are always wanting and a great source of inconvenience to travellers.		All the Suggestions are very good and may be embodied in the rules. But some of them (2) (3) & (4) relate to departmental management. But strict rules will protect Government interests and also add materially to the Comfort & Convenience of the public. I think a limit of time, say 3 days, is very necessary. Any stay beyond that should be Consent on the non arrival of travellers. If any one wishes to occupy the Bungalow for more than 10 days

D/1.44

Draft

(14)

(General Serial No. 31)

188

From

Con. LB

No. 2-86.

To The Dy. Comr.

General No. 28

Mysore

Dated 8/2/86

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. Nil

General No. 6657

No. 312

This docket dis:pos
of 2 instant.

In reply thro the
honor to forward
herewith a copy of
the Travellers' Bungalow
Rules.

Rs

B Z

Encl 6

Callicut
15/2

(15)

REVENUE-FORESTS. OFFICE
8320
172
DEWAN OF MYSORE

(General Serial No 31.)

188

From

The Inspector General of Forests
and Plantations in Mysore.

Serial No 286

To

Chief Secretary to the Dewan
The Deputy Commissioner,
District.
of Mysore

Dated 13th February 1888

Despatched

Received Camp Ajandase

Enclosures No.

General No. K
R. F. No. 10

Sir

In acknowledging the receipt of your docket No. 6132-42/159 dated the 17th Ultimo forwarding copies of remarks by the P. W. Secretary and Assistant Secretary on the — traveller's Bungalow rules and requesting my early opinion thereon, I have the honor to submit a memo of my views on the subject.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient & humble servant
Illegible
Inspector General of Forests

Opinion of Inspector General of Forests

No 1. May stand

No 2. The addition "with bath room" is very necessary.

No 3. May stand

No 4. Rule should be altered as suggested by Assistant Secretary L. D. to and concurred in by Colonel Bowen.

No 5 May stand

No 6. The complaint should be made in the Bungalow book. Travellers may not know who is the Deputy Commissioner or where to address him. I have reason to believe that complaints and remarks made in the Bungalow books are not properly attended to by Deputy Commissioners and Amildars. The latter do not as a rule I think even read the remarks.

No 7. May stand.

" 8. ——do.——

" 9. ——do——

" 10. do and add at end "the fee paid and also any remarks or complaints he may have to make."

No 11 May stand, but in connection with this rule it should be made clearly ascertainable to what class the Bungalow belongs.

Rule 12. May stand.

Rules 13 & 14. I do not agree in the modifications proposed by the Assistant Secretary. A first class Bungalow should have a higher rate of fee and a better staff of servants as they are often resorted to by sportsmen and pleasure seekers, as apart from "travellers".

The rule for charging a married couple an extra fee is scarcely fair; for they have as it is to restrict their accommodation if other Travellers arrive.

If children are to be charged too the married couple might claim the whole Bungalow to the great discomfort of other travellers. On the whole I would let Rules 13 and 14 stand as they are.

Rule 15. May stand.

" 16. Instead of "half" I would modify to a "quarter". In other respects I would let the rule stand. Without payment, the horses

horses might be turned out by a traveller who is virtually paying for the use of the stabling. Some advantage must accrue to the use of the stables &c. and such should therefore be paid for.

Rule 17. To stand

" 18. ~~do~~

" 19. To stand - save that as suggested by Colonel Bowen prices should be overhauled and lowered in some cases, but no distinction to be made between old and new articles.

N. B. As far I have noticed, few Bungalows have now any crockery - and I dont know who looks after, and regularly checks the list.

Rule 20. I dont see why occupancy of a 3d class Bungalow should be free for the first 24 hours. This rule in fact should be incorporated with rule 13, with the proviso " no deduction will be made on account of any broken portion of 24 hours."

N. B. In some 3d class Bungalows, occupancy is "free" for three(3) days. whether this is authorised I cant say. The practice should

should be discontinued in all cases.

General Remarks.

I agree generally in the Assistant Secre-
-tary's remark, about a "limit of occupancy"
being required, but I hardly see why Govern-
-ment officials should be excepted. They have
tents and can hardly be called "Travellers".
The persons who most suffer by, more or less
a permanent occupation, are bonâ fide —
"Travellers". They have not the means that
Government officials have of ascertaining,
before hand whether a Bungalow is occupied
or not and it is a serious hardship to
such bonâ fide travellers, if they arrive at
a Bungalow, tired and hungry and perhaps
late in the day or at night, to find it —
fully occupied.

I agree fully in the necessity of having
lists of articles posted on Notice Board and
examined periodically by Amildars and —
making the servants responsible for fittings

The

The first thing however is for the L. W. D. to fully equip Bungalows in the first instance; for there is neglect in this respect at present. Bungalows as a rule are far from being properly kept in order now, timely repairs and cleanli=ness are not attended to. The servants too do not rule off white ants or attend to cleanliness of bath rooms, sanitary arrangements &c. Radical improvement is wanted and responsibility duly fixed on the proper officer.

Use of Hindu Kitchens when used inde=pendently of the Bungalow should be fixed — perhaps at 1/4 of the Bungalow fee.

Periodical inspection of Bungalows by the L. W. D. very necessary.

Quasi permanent occupancy is a diffi=cult question. As a rule it should not be allowed and where allowed due notice should be given in the Gazette and local papers, also similar notice should be pasted up on the other Bungalows on the line to enable Travellers to make timely arrangements accordingly.

Regarding

Regarding the Chemmapatam Travellers

"Bungalow being now occupied by a Permanent Way Inspector who does not turn out when travellers arrive". I may add that some years ago myself with another gentleman and lady experienced this inconvenience and that too in rainy weather. I was Deputy Commissioner at the time and yet I did not know of the permission given evidently by the Secretary P. W. D. and probably with the sanction of the Dewan.

Major Kensington says "I have suggested one class as regards fees, as regards servants and hit there may be 1st, 2d or even 3 classes" This seems to me to be inconsistent. Where 1st class fees are paid there should be crockery and a larger staff of servants - 2d class Bungalows require no crockery, and but few servants - 3d class Bungalows only a sweeper. Some Bungalows have undoubtedly servants in excess of all requirements. The staff of servants has been much cut down in Bangalore District. The same should be done in other Districts also and on a fixed scale of revision.

Absence

Absence of servants, drunkenness &c all indicate inattention on the part of the Amildar and Deputy Commissioner.

Any revision of rules should be made chiefly in the interests of travellers. Their convenience is not now being properly attended to. Whether from divided responsibility or from other causes I cant say.

The Travellers Bungalow at Pellamanagalam has been converted into a Munsiff's Court. This is very hard upon travellers and extremely inconvenient to Government officers also.

Camp Gandasi
13th February 88

H Ricketts
Inspector General of Forests

Pitt

General Serial No. 5

188 .

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

(16)

To — The Deputy Comr. of Kolar Chitaldrug, and Shimoga.

Dated

Despatched 18/12/88.

Received

Enclosures No. 3

General No. 6835 A / 265 — 6836 B / 116 — 6837 C / 188

The Dy: Comr: Kolar's 1646 / 487 of 28th: Inst: 373 / 342 of the 25th:, & 634 / 601 of the 24th: ultimo.

In reply he has the honor to forward herewith a copy of the Travellers' Bungalow Rules.

Bo

(General Serial No. 31)

(18)

188

From LB

2-86

To The Dy Comm^r
Nadiad Dn

Dated 21 } 2 by
Despatched
Received —

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 6920
No. 182

As requested in his
docket No A dated the 11
Instant, forwards copy of
the Travellers' Bungalow
Rules therein alluded to.

B O

Dy

If horses occupy Bungalow Stables in the absence of their owner, Stable fees should invariably be charged. If the horses are worth putting under cover, at all, the owner should not grudge the money. Candlesticks should be replaced by lamps.

3 I have in a previous reference, No 433 dated 24th August 86, made some recommendations in connection with the establishment of Travellers' Bungalows

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

Dy: Commissioner

Dy. No. 901.

Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore District
21st February 188

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your No. 6132 of 17th January, and to say in reply that, as I am unable to procure a copy of the Bungalow Rules themselves, I am not in a position to say whether all the alterations proposed are, in my opinion, to be commended, and can only offer a few remarks.

2. I do not think the proposal to make all Bungalow fees uniform, a good one. The change should, in my opinion, be in accordance with the accommodation offered and there should be only 2 classes of Bungalows

No. 424 Encl Nil
390.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
OF THE MYSORE
Chitaldroog District
Dated 23d February 1888.

Dk { 85-10 / 398. / 1 }

Section 2 B
File No 2-86
Serial No 31

To
The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.-

Sir,

Reference. Your docket No. 6132-42/159 of the 17th January 1888 forwarding for opinion the Public Works Secretary's notes on the present rules regarding the Travellers Bungalows and your docket No. 6836/116 of the 18th Instant (received last evening) furnishing a copy of the said rules for reference.-

2.- The proposed addition of

of the words "with a bath room" after the [illegible] words "one room" in rule 2 is necessary as it would be inconvenient to be without a bath room.-

3.- As proposed by Col. Bowen and Major Kinsington the rule (No 4) prohibiting the taking of the travellers' Cattle and having them within the Bungalow Compound may and perhaps ought to be expunged.- In fact this rule is never observed now and it would cause serious inconvenience if it were enforced.-

4.- The proposed modification of rules 13 and 14 seems desirable in every way.- There

is no reason why the occupancy of a 3d class Bungalow should not be paid for.- In fact I am one with the P. W. Secretary in thinking that no classification of these Bungalows is at all needed.- They may all be of one class and one uniform rate of fees levied for their occupancy.- The scale proposed by Major Kensington (viz 1/2 Rs for each adult and 12 annas for a married couple for every 24 hours or portion thereof.) is very suitable and moderate.- I also agree with him in thinking that children above 12 years should be paid for at 1/2 the rate for adults.- At present if a husband and

wife stay in a Bungalow with a dozen of their grown up daughters and sons they only pay for themselves and not for their children. I have seen numerous instances of the kind.-

5.- While on this subject I may perhaps call attention to the rules that exempt some Officers of our Government from the payment of the Bungalow fees.- For instance the Officers of the Police and the Survey Department do not pay any fee.- As they get the travelling allowance like other Officers there seems to be no reason whatever for the Concession.

2 d/u

6. - The P. W. Secretaries propose to wipe out rule 16 requiring the payment of half the fee for the occupancy of the Bungalow when only horses are picketted within in their Compounds without their owners. - At present only 2 anas per horse per day is levied and not ½ of the usual Bungalow fee. - I think the present practice may be continued and the rule altered accordingly. - The reason apigned for the abolition of any fee for horses (viz that the man in charge of the animals may not picket them in the Bungalows and nevertheless by false statements recover the charge

from their masters) is in my humble opinion not very cogent.- The dishonest intention of servants towards their masters is no powerful argument against the Government recovering its just dues.-

7.- Rule 17 seems unnecessary if rules 13 and 14 are eliminated and a fresh one substituted as proposed by Major Kensington.-

8.- As proposed by Col. Bowen the price list of the Bungalore articles which under rule 19 have to be paid for when any body breaks and damages them may be revised according to the present

market value of such articles.

9.- Rule 20 prescribing the scale of fees for the occupancy of 3rd class Bungalows may be omitted, as it is proposed to do away with the classification and treat all Bungalows alike.

10.- Regarding the length of occupancy of any travellers' Bungalow, 3 days may be fixed generally (as the period allowed for one occupancy), but in the cases of the Bungalows rarely used and which are away from the much used roads the Deputy Commissioner of the District should be empowered to extend the time on the condition of the Conservancy vacating

for the occupancy of the kitchens intended for vegetarians, - while Col. Bowen considers the proposal questionable. - Rule 15 makes this point clear. - By it "when a godown, Cookroom or Compound of a traveller's Bungalow is used by a traveller he must pay the same as if he occupied a room in the said Bungalow". - This rule is sound.

13. - Lastly in regard to the Bungalow Servants. - In some places the present establishment is (as Major Kensington remarks) unduly large. The "Kotwals" are most unnecessary set of servants. - They have scarcely any thing to do. - As Major Kensington proposes, a Sweeper (or Scavenger) for each Bungalow and an additional Establishment of a Mahy and a Caste Hindu Dandy in places most frequented would seem to suffice. -

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

[illegible]

Offg. Dy Commissioner.

Called for 5/3

(Ge[illegible]rial No. 29.) (21)

1888

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE. 8699. 5 MAR 88 642

From The Deputy Commissioner Shimoga District

LB 2-86

Serial No. 32

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 1st March
Despatched
Received 3

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 705 / No. 669 } 1887-88.

Chief Secretary's Docket No. 6132-42/159 dated 27th January 1888 forwarding for opinion copies of remarks by the P. W. Secretary and Assistant Secretary on the Travellers Bungalow rules.

Has the honour to return herewith a copy of the above with the opinion of Undersigned thereon.

K. Madhava Rao
Deputy Commissioner

BR

by the Secretary Works Department.

Opinion of the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga Dt.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

very advisable

I agree.

Practically travellers' kept inside the Bank bullocks are the trees yea to see no objection.

I agree with Colonel Bowen.

important innovation. commends itself and the probably be that the laws would be better than they are now,

The present rates may continue. The proposed modification may cause falling off of the fees. I would not advocate the fee of 4 annas for children under 12 years. It will be very unpopular.

but the total
maximum

For each adult Rs " —8— "
" " Married couple " —12— "
" " Child under 12 years } " —4— "

This would be

No. 15. May stand.

" 16. I think this should be modified and no fee charged. The great objection to this rule is that practically it prohibits travellers utilising the bungalows compounds or stables, when they are not with their animals. As their servants would charge the fees and never go near the bungalows, and thus the advantage of the bungalows would be completely lost, besides the cost appears excessive compared with the occupancy of the Bungalow and all the out houses and stables.

I quite if the rule It ought

of fees realized would fall off

The proposal to levy a uniform fee for every 24 hours has my entire approval.

very unpopular.

agree; but I doubt is ever enforced. to be abandoned.

may be abandoned as proposed by Colonel Bowen.

No. 17. Provided for in rule sub-
= stituted for [illegible]

No. 18 May stand.

No. 19 — Ditto. But prices should be reduced for old articles and for partial breakages i.e, for, shade only of metal candlestick No. 15 is an absurd price.

No. but I list overhaul to make a old and new partial brea ones. Compli would arise. a protective

No. 20. I have suggested only one class of Bungalow. Some add- = ditional rules appear neces- = sary.

A limit of occupancy is required for travellers, except Government officials and cases of sickness, when the Bungalow is required for other travellers, say 3 days. A list of the articles in each Bungalow should be printed and pasted on the notice board. They should be examined

Some rule very necessary there should limit for officials ex Bungalows = cupied per the permis Commissioner

half yearly by the Amildars, old articles replaced and damaged ones repaired. The Bungalow servants should be held respons- = ponsible for all fittings such as door locks, window fasten- = ings, bolts, handles, ropes to ventilators &c. &c. These are always wanting and a great source of inconvenience and annoyance to travellers.

Certainly.

I quite agree

A fee should be levied for the use of the kitchens by vegetarian Hindus when such do not use the Bungalow. This might be fixed at 1/2 or 1/4 the Bungalow fee.

They have of furniture &c. They the shelter proposal questionable

The buildings should be inspected and reported on 1/2 Yearly as to their condition by P. W. Department.

Yes.

Quasi permanent occupancy

It is a

te rowing is required.
ult to say how and
line should be
t all events applic=
ld not be given
to live in Bungalows
they should state
and if this be
stick to it.

I concur with Colonel
Bowen.

be brought to the
the Deputy Com=

I have suggested one class as regards fees; as regards servants and kit, there may be 1, 2 or even 3 classes. As regards servants I would remark that at several bungalows, they are very much in excess of requirements, and at others the essential one, a sweeper is wanting. There are some bungalows with 6 servants. I would suggest that all have a sweeper, bound to live on the premises for others a waterman may be added, and for those most frequented a maty (cook) who should also be a caterer, in very exceptional cases a dandi or peon, may

The allotment evidently re-

Undoubtedly;

not the advantage
servants, supplies
have merely
of a roof. This
is therefore

I would fix the fee at 1/4 the
Bungalow fee.

concession, but

f establishments
quires revision.

A sweeper where there is
none is indispensably
necessary

The bungalows are now used
more frequently by natives.
Special kitchens have been

may be added to assist him. One and all these servants should live in the premises where the village does not adjoin the bungalow.

The bungalow servants at present are frequently absent and not to be found without giving them a much longer warning than travellers are usually able to do, besides they are often not of the class suited to supply the wants of travellers. The waterman may be a caste hindu to suit the wants of Vegetarian hindus.

This is quite true

Signed/ G. H. B.
Secretary
of Engs

P. T.

built for them. I would take this opportunity to suggest that a Brahmin waterman may be attached to each Bungalow in the place of the Dandi or peon and that a copper or brass water boiler or "handa", a copper or brass "Kotla" or water pot and two chumbers for high caste Hindus be added to the articles now in each bungalow.

owes Lt-Col O. R.
to the Govt
one O. W. D.

1st March 1888

Yeshwantrao
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga

P. W. officers use travellers Bungalows, I may say, more than any other persons, and their suggestions should carry proportionate weight.

Signed / C. H. M. Kensington

Assistant Secretary

Mysore P. W. D.

Collnl 7
9/3

General Serial No. 29.)

1888



From The Dy Commissioner
Kadur

Section —
—2-86.
—33
Dated 5th
Despatched 6th } March
Received

To
The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Enclosures No.

General No. 458 } 1887.88
No.

Sir,

With reference to
Your Docket No 6132-42
dated 17th January last,
159
forwarding for opinion
Copies & remarks by the
P. W. Secretary & Assistant
Secretary, on the Travel-
-lers' Bungalow Rules,
I have the honor to state
that I agree with Col
Bowen in all the remarks
he has made.

2. I think that the Exis-
-ting classes of Bunga-
-lows should be retained
with their fees & furnish-
-ings. The remedy for

inequalities is to revise the classes every year, as the opening out of the Country by Railways and Roads sometimes increases and sometimes diminishes the utility of certain Bungalows.

3. In this District the Establishment for a 1st and 2d Class Bungalows is as follows -

	1st Class. Rs	2d Class Rs
1. Khaty	7 — " — " —	7 — " —
1. Waterman -	4 — " — " —	4 — " —
1. Toti	4 — " — " —	4 — " —
1. Peon	5 — " — " —	" — " —
Total Rupees	20 — " — " —	15 — " —

4. Third Class Bungalows have each one attendant called Watchman or Sweeper on Rs 4 - Rs 5 - & Rs 6. I do not think these Establishments can be reduced for Bungalows properly classified.

5. Persons who are not Government Servants nor bona fide travellers wishing to occupy Public Bungalows, should first apply to the Commissioners, stating the number of days they wish to live in them - how many rooms they require & the circumstances of the case.

6. A list of the Bungalow servants, giving names, caste & place where they live, might be hung up - also the name of the Kotwal & his place of residence -

I have the honor to be
Your most obedt Servant
[illegible]

[illegible] Dy Commissioner Kaira

-ing Memorandum
on the subject.

T. Mandell

Compared Deputy Comm.
W. Hall Mysore Dist

Collected
17/3

(General Serial No. 31.) (23)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE. 9027 17 MAR. 673

188

From The Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

To LB The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.
2-86
34

Dated 14th March 88

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No. 794 | 188 .

Chief Secretary's
docket No: 6132-42
159
dated 17th January
last calling for an
opinion on the remarks
of the Assistant Se-
-cretary and Secre-
-tary D.P.W. on pro-
-posed Travellers'
Bungalow rules.

—"—

In reply beg to
submit the accompany.

Rules for Travellers Bungalows.

Rule 1 - May stand

Rule 2. Requires the modification suggested by Assistant Secretary and approved by Secretary P.W.D.

Rule 3. May stand.

Rule 4. Requires to be omitted for the reasons given by Assistant Secretary and approved by the Secretary P.W.D. To these may be added the fact that in several cases the land around the bungalows being all private property will not be available for travellers cattle.

Rule 5 and 6. May stand.

Rule 7 - As it stands it would mean that the injured parties are thereby deprived of their

liberty to seek redress in Com-
-petent Courts of law. I would
therefore simply say "The Amildar
"or other public servant on the
"spot will similarly report to
"the Deputy Commissioner any
"misconduct on the part of
"Travellers occupying the
"Bungalow."

Rule 10 I would add "the
amount of fees paid by him
and any remarks and sug-
-gestions which the Traveller
may wish to make for the
information of the Deputy Com-
-missioner". This is the exist-
-ing practice and there is
much to recommend its
Continuance.

Rule 13 (a). In my opinion
it is inexpedient to declare all
travellers' Bungalows to be
of one class and to prescribe

a uniform fee as suggested by the Assistant Secretary. It will prove a needless sacrifice of income from fees. I would retain rule 13 as it stands and add a charge of 4 annas for Travellers' Bungalows of the 3rd Class also. I do not understand why no fee should be prescribed for 3rd Class Travellers' Bungalows. The practice too is not uniform, some 3rd Class bungalows being free while others are not so. There has been no complaint in respect of the latter as far as I am aware.

(6) Rule 13. Would read better as follows:- "Every person occupying a Travellers' Bungalow shall pay a full daily fee as follows:-" The schedule of rates will follow and the words "For each married

couple "I would add "occu-
-pying the same room".

Rule 14. I am not sure that I understand this rule. If it be intended to provide for fractions of 24 hours beyond a 24 hours' occupation it may be enough to say: "Fractions of 24 hours exceeding 4 hours being reckoned as full 24 hours." I would allow a larger margin than 4 hours in this case and make it 6 hours.

Rule 15. This seems too hard. I would prescribe reduced rates as in rule 16. If the kitchens for vegetarian Hindus are not intended to be included in this rule, it is necessary to make the intention plain.

Rule 16. This may stand. I would reduce the fee and fix it at a uniform rate

irrespective of the Bungalow rates.
It may be 2 annas a day. To
charge a fee is the existing
practice which I have often
seen it enforced and do not
know its not having been en-
-forced. I do not understand
why occupancy of stables by
animals should be made free.
Construction and repair
of stables cost money like other
parts of the Travellers' Bungalow.
If the animals are valuable
they are worth accomodating
in stables even though their
masters be not with them.
Servants may cheat as ob-
-served by the Assistant
Secretary but this can be pro-
-vided for by introducing a
system of Receipts. That masters
will be cheated by servants
is hardly a reason for an
exemption from Bungalow fees.

Rule 17: May stand. Instead of 4 I would allow 6 hours for the half rate.

Rule 18. I do not see the necessity for a severe rule like this. In most cases there are four or five stalls or at least three. I would reserve one of these for bullocks.

Rule 19. May stand. Definitions between "old" and "new" and between "partial" and "Complete" breakages, as suggested by Assistant Secretary should prove embarrassing. The price list may be revised as suggested by the Secretary (D. P. W. so that the amounts may be actual prime cost and a clause added allowing the traveller to take the broken article he has paid for.

Rule 20. vide remarks (a)

on Rule 13.

Additional rules for proposed by the Assistant Secretary:—

(1) Limit of occupancy. A 3 days' limit may be fixed for all travellers except Government Officials. Exceptions in favor of sick persons are often abused so that Travellers' Bungalows are sometimes as good or as bad as Hospitals.

(2) List of articles ——
(3) Periodical examination of articles ——
(4) Responsibility for fittings
} All these are necessary.

(5) Fees for occupation only of kitchens for vegetarian Hindus ——
} As observed by the Secretary D.P.W. it will be too hard to charge a high fee for shelter of a roof only. On the principle advocated by me in regard to rule 16 I would fix a very

small fee uniform for all Travellers' Bangalows. It may be 2annas a day.

(6) Half yearly Examination of buildings by P.W.D. } Yes. But the buildings are in D. P.W. charge

(7) Quasi permanent occupancy } This will be discouraged by the rule of 3 days' limit above proposed.

(8) Establishment. This is a separate subject altogether unconnected with the proposed rules which are for the guidance of Travellers.

Deputy Commr.

Compared
M.

Mysore District

(General Serial No. 31.) (24)

188 8

SECRETARY'S OFFICE, DEPD NO. 1907, 23 APR 88, MYSORE P. W. DEPT.

Iro: 1743

534

8

Transmitted to the Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore for disposal, as a spare copy of the Rules is not available in this Office. —

C. Vowen - Lt. Coll: Rb.

Supdg: Engr of Mysore

Bangalore 23. April/88

Forwarded

24/4

put up Rules and refer S.E. from other Dy. [illegible] for copies of the Rules.

24/4

Rampura 25-4-

Supdg. 30/4/88

From

The Deputy Commissioner
L.B. - Hassan District

To

2-86
35

~~The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.~~

The Secretary to Government
P.W.D.

Dated

Despatched 19th April/88

Received

Enclosures None

General No. 15
No. 2-86 - 188 8. 89

ORDINARY

I have the honor to request that the Public Works Secretary will kindly furnish the undersigned with a copy of the Travellers Bungalow Rules at an early date, as a reference from the Chief Secretary is pending reply.

For D/Commissioner
BN

Rules for the preservation and occupation of Travellers' Bungalows in Mysore.

Nos: of Para	Rules now in force	Proposed modifications	Deputy Commissioner's opinion.
	Bungalows are placed under the charge of Deputy Commissioners of districts It is expected that all Rules which may be prescribed by them for the preservation and cleanliness of the buildings shall be implicitly observed and conformed to by Travellers.	May stand	May stand
2	The first comer is entitled to the choice of rooms, but no individual is permitted to appropriate to himself more than one room when the other rooms are in demand.	After "one Room" may be added with a bath room. Yes, this is very advisable.	The modification is good.

called-
23/4

(General Serial No. 29.)

1888

OFFICE OF THE
481
23 APR. 88
46
DEWAN OF MYSORE.

25

KB
2-86
36

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 22nd
Despatched 22nd } April 1888
Received

Enclosures No. one.

General. No. 184
No. 53 } 1888—89.

Chief Secretary's Docket No:
6132-42
159, dated the 18th January 1888,
calling for an expression of my opinion
on the proposed modifications in
the Travellers' Bungalow Rules.

I have the honor to submit herewith a Memo shewing the existing rules along with the remarks of the undersigned thereon.

Deputy Commissioner.

3	The practice of defacing the walls by drawing or writing on them is strictly prohibited, and travellers are held responsible that their servants do not in any manner deface or damage the buildings or furniture.	May stand.	May stand.
4	The personal baggage only of travellers will be admitted into the Bungalow Compound, all cattle to be picketted outside the walls of the Compound.	Several Bungalows have no compound walls nor hedges, and I think it would be a great hardship to send cattle outside, the more so as cows are now frequently kept by the bungalow servants inside the Compounds whereas by this rule travellers cattle would have to remain outside. I agree generally, travellers cattle are kept inside the compound but bullocks are tethered to trees to which I see no objection.	I also agree to the alteration of this rule.
5	The person in charge at each Bungalow is instructed to assist travellers in procuring supplies, &c for ready money.		Card or name of each traveller be given to the Bungalow servant, as soon as he enters the Bungalow.

9	The kitchens for higher caste Hindus, recently added to Travellers Bungalows, are intended to be used by "Dekkanian Hindus" only. The Deputy Commissioners will enforce this rule.	May stand	May stand.
10	A book is kept at each Bungalow in which each traveller is requested to write his name and dates of arrival and departure.	May stand.	— Do —
11	All persons making use of, or occupying a Travellers Bungalow, must pay the prescribed fee	May stand.	— Do —
12	All payments are to be made to the Head Servant present before the Traveller quits the Bungalow.	May stand	— Do —

55 [illegible] 55

6	Any representation of misconduct on the part of the Servants attached to Public Bungalows made to the Deputy Commissioner will be enquired into.	May stand	May stand.
7	The Amildar or other Public Servant on the spot is ordered to report to the Deputy Commissioner any ill treatment of the Servants attached to the Bungalow and generally any deviations from these rules, either on the part of Travellers or their Domestics and followers, which may come under his own knowledge, or be brought to his notice by the person in immediate charge of the Bungalow.	May stand	— do —
8	Masters are held responsible for any infringement of the rules by their attendants.	May stand	— do —

Bungalow is used by a Traveller he must pay the same as if he occupied a room in the said Bungalow -

16 In cases where a traveller does not himself occupy any 1st. or 2d. Class Travellers Bungalow or Compound, but only pickets his horse or horses in the stables or Compound of such Bungalow, half the prescribed rate for personal occupancy will be charged in lieu of full rate.

17 A traveller or travellers occupying a 1st. and 2nd Class Travellers' Bungalow for a period not exceeding 4 hours will be charged half the daily rates for such occupancy -

18 The occupation of a

May stand

I think this should be modified and no fee charged. The great objection to this rule is that practically it prohibits travellers utilizing the Bungalows compounds or stables when they are not with their animals As their servants would charge the fees and never go near the Bungalow and thus the advantage of the Bungalows would be completely lost; besides, the cost appears excessive compared with the accupancy of the Bungalow and all the out-houses and stables

I quite agree, but I doubt if the rule is ever enforced. It ought to be abandoned —

Provided for in Rule substituted for Nos: 13 and 14.

and compound.

Yes — so — No fee be charged.

See modification of rules 13 and 14. I would, however, suggest that levying half fee when the occupancy does not exceed 12 hours, is more reasonable —

13 Two or more person put up in any one room of a Travellers' Bungalow must each pay a full daily fee, namely

1st Class Bungalow

	Rs as p
For each adult-	1 — " — "
For each married couple }	1 — 8 — "

2nd Class Bungalow

For each adult-	" — 8 — "
For each married couple- }	" — 12 — "

14 For any occupancy extending beyond 24 hours and not over 48 hours, double the above rates will be charged, every additional 24 hours or fraction thereof being reckoned as a separate occupancy to be paid for accordingly.

15 When a go-down, cook-room or compound of a Travellers'

Nos 13 and 14. I would modify as follows: —

All Bungalows to be of one class. the fee of occupancy for every 24 hours or portion of 24 hours to be as follows: —

	Rs as p
For each adult-	" — 8 — "
For " married couple (x)	" — 12 — "
Child under 12 years	" — * 4 — "

This is an important innovation. Uniformity commends itself & the result would probably be that the 3rd Class bungalows would be better looked after than they are now, but the total fees realized would materially fall off.

* This would be very unpopular -

I agree to the modification here made. In my opinion child within 10 years be not charged -

(x) I would substitute family instead of married couple -

I would propose that nothing need be charged for usual out houses stable

11	Carver and fork	—	4	—	—
12	Cup and Saucer	—	1	—	8
13	Soup plate	—	1	—	8
14	Plate- do	—	1	—	4
15	Desert plate	—	1	—	4
16	Large dish	—	5	—	—
17	Dish cover	—	4	—	—
18	Salt-cellar	—	2	—	—
19	Egg-Cup	—	—	—	12
20	Tumbler	—	1	—	8
21	Wine glass	—	1	—	—
22	Steel	—	2	—	8
23	Milk Jug	—	1	—	8
24	Sugar Basin (Bowl)		1	—	8

20 For the occupation of 3rd Class Travellers Bungalows no charge will be made for any occupancy not exceeding 24 hours. Any occupancy exceeding 24 hours must be paid for as follows:—

For each adult 4 as per day
Married couple or family } 6 as do —

No deduction will be made on account of

I have suggested only one class of Bungalows. Some additional Rules appear necessary—

A limit of occupancy is required for travellers, except Government officials and cases of sickness when the Bungalow is required for other travellers, say 3 days—

A list of articles in each Bungalow should be printed

Yes—

Three days or a week generally. When his stay exceeds 7 days, the traveller should obtain permission of the district officer—

Bungalow Stables ~~as~~ travellers bullocks being strictly prohibited, will on no account be permitted but no objection will be made to their being picketted in parts of the Compounds remote from the stables.

19 Travellers injuring or breaking any Bungalow article are bound to make good the value thereof as given the annexed List paying the same to the Khaty previous to departure, and making an entry to that effect in the Bungalow Book

		Rs as P
1.	Tea pot	4—8—0
2.	Coffee pot	4—8—0
3	Metal Candlestick with shade	15—0—0
4.	Spare shade	3—0—0
5.	Table knife	3—0—0
6.	do Spoon	4—0—0
7	do fork	4—0—0
8	Dessert Spoon	3—8—0
9	Tea do	3—0—0
10	Salt do	3—0—0

May stand

Do — but prices should be reduced for old articles and for partial breakages, i.e. for shade only, of metal Candlestick Rs 15 is an absurd price

Do but I would have the price list overhauled. It would be difficult to make a distinction between old and new articles — and between partial breakages and complete ones. Complications and disputes would arise. The measure is a protective one I understand —

Yes requires to be reduced —

Yes.

Quite so —

any portion of 24 hours—

and tested on the notice Board
They should be examined half
yearly by the Auildar, old articles
replaced and damaged ones repaired
The Bungalow servants should be
held responsible for all fittings,
such as door locks, window fasten
=ings bolts, handles reglass to
Ventilators, &c. &c.

These are always wanting and
a great source of inconvenience
and annoyance to travellers—

A fee should be levied for the
use of the kitchens by Vegetarian
Hindus when such do not use the
Bungalow. This might be fixed at
half or quater the Bungalow fee—

(d) The buildings should be
inspected and reported on half
-yearly as to their condition by Public
Works department.

(e) Quasi permanent occupancy
-? are against in toto. Bungalows
are for travellers and are given
for permanent occupation to persons
on the ground there is no other
accomodation

Yes.

Yes—

No fees need be charged as they
come under the head out houses.
See modification against Rule 15.

Yes.

Leaving always a portion of the Bungalow
for travellers should be insisted on
the permanent occupant. The
whole Bungalow should never be
rented out.

accommodation available here then on a moments notice, when a traveller suddenly arrives, are they to turn out they and their baggage? As a matter of fact, they neither can do so nor will they do so; therefore it behoves us to adopt the only course, though it may be a dog-in-the-manger one and prohibit permanent occupancy.

(c) The Chenab Patana Travellers' Bungalow is now occupied by a permanent Way Inspector who does not turn out when travellers arrive — This is objectionable

I have suggested one class as regards, (say) as regards servants and that there may be 1, 2 or even 3 classes. As regards servants I would remark that at several Bungalows they are very much in excess of requirements, and at others, the essential one, a sweeper is wanting. There are some Bungalows with 6 servants (d) Requires revision

(e) I would suggest that all have a sweeper bound to live in the premises, for others a watchman may be added, and for those most frequented a Mutty (Cook) who should also be Caterer, in very exceptional cases a Dandi or Peon, may be added to assist him. One of all these servants should live on the premises where the village does not adjoin the Bungalow. (e) Yes.

(f) The Bungalow servants at present are frequently absent and not to be found without giving them a much longer warning than travellers are usually able to do, besides they are often not of the class suited to supply the wants of travellers. The Watchman may be a caste-Hindu to suit the wants of "Vegetarian Hindus". / Yes

Public Works officers use Travellers' Bungalows I may say, more than any other persons, and their suggestions should carry proportionate weight. / Yes. / Certainly, I agree —

(Signed) C. H. St. Kensington —
Asst. Secy. P. W. Dept.

— Some rules on this subject is very necessary. I rather think there should be some definite limit for all Government officials accepted. At present Bungalows are virtually occupied permanently with the permission of the Deputy Commissioner.

C. Wood Rw
Deputy Commissioner

* — Certainly

+ I quite agree

§ They have not the advantages of furniture servants, supplies &c. They have merely the shelter of a roof. This proposal is therefore questionable.

" (a) Yes

(b) It is a concession but some definite ruling is required It is difficult to say how and where the line should be drawn. At all events applicants should not be given leave

to live in Bungalows "ad libitum". They should state a period, and if this be allowed, stick to it—

(c) This should be brought to the notice of the Deputy Commissioner.

(d) The allotment of Establishments recently requires revision—

(e) Undoubtedly—

(f) This is quite true.

(Signed) L. Boileau, Lt-Colonel, R.E.
Secy: to Government, P.W.D.

T.57

(26)
2 Drafts

(General Serial No. 31)

SOUTH AUSTRALIA.

1888.

LB

From

L—86 The Chief Secretary

37 A

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

Dated 3d April

Despatched ~~April~~ 1888.

Received

Enclosures No. nil

General No. 719

No. 27 2 1.

His № 15 dated 19th April 1888 to the address of the Secretary in the P.W.D. transferred to this office with his Memo № 1743 dated 23rd Idem.

Forwards herewith a copy of Travellers' Bungalow Rules as requested in the above.

"By order"

Under Secretary

Noted

9
T.S.

(27)

(General Serial No. 31)

1888.

From The Chief Secretary

To The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore
~~Kolaar~~ District

Dated 3d
Despatched 4th April 1888.
Received

Enclosures No. one

General No. 720
No. 41

His c/o 901 dated 22nd February 1888

With reference to the above forwards herewith copy of Travellers Bungalow Rules and requests the early transmission of his opinion on the remarks of the P.W. Secy and assistant Secretary on the rules as called for in this office Proc^s 6132-42 / 159 dated 18th January 1888.

"By order"

Under Secretary

Noted
BL

Called 7 Hfs

General Serial No. 29.)

(28)

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE 188 HASSAN DISTRICT.

From 1126

82

To 2-86 *The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.*

Serial No 39

Dated 12 May 188

Despatched 13 May 188

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 82 / *No.* Secy. } 188 8.89

Submitting certain observations on the copies of remarks by the D.C. Secretary and Assistant Secretary on the Travel-ler's Bungalow Rules &c.

No. 82
Secy.

Dated Hassan 12th May 1888

From,

P. N. Perumaiya Esquire

Dy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of
Mysore.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your docket No. 6132-42/159 dated 17th January 1888, forwarding copies of the remarks by the D. P. W. Secretary and Assistant Secretary on the Travellers Bungalow Rules for my opinion and with reference thereto, beg to submit the following observations:-

Rules 13 and 14. I would collect a fee of four annas for even a single days use of a third class Travellers

Travellers Bungalow, or for any shorter
period. I am of opinion that impo-
sition of fees for children under 12
years, would be very unpopular. The
fee should rather be regulated by the room
or rooms occupied by a travelling party
and not according to the number of
persons comprising the party. It is not
uncommon for 3 or 4 native gentlemen
travelling together to occupy one room.
In such a case a rupee per day
for each man in a first class Bunga-
low or likewise a fee per head in any
Bungalow would be heavy. There should
be one fee for one furnished room with
a bath room. The fee for a native
gentleman in a first or second class
Bungalow might be somewhat lower
than

than the fee for those that have the use of the services of the cook and cooking vessels.

Rule 16. This rule may be modified as proposed. No fee need be charged but preference should be given to horses whose masters may occupy the Bungalow even when they are first comers.

Rule 20. Vide observations on Rules 13 and 14.

I think it is very necessary that Government should have a reservation of power to close a Bungalow for the public in part or in whole after due notice for periods to be stated. Circumstances frequently arise where even for Government work or for quasi Government work, long occupation of Bungalows by private

gentlemen or by Government servants becomes absolutely necessary - This necessity frequently arises particularly where Europeans are concerned. I know of two instances in Kolar one in Mysore and two in this District where such concessions had to be granted under exceptional circumstances and the reasonableness of the arrangement did not admit of any questioning. In each of these cases, nay in almost all cases of the kind one suit of rooms is always kept open for travellers. What is wanted is only that the concession should be reasonable and should be strictly enforced.

With reference to the establishment great difficulty is experienced in

in securing the services of Lotis, particularly in villages and in small towns. It is invariably a Paraya that has taken to that profession in large towns that consents to do the work. Such men are not easily procurable and for the salary now fixed for this work. The kind and considerate provision of Government in having native kitchens attached to Bungalows has induced many native gentlemen to resort to them. But it is somewhat repulsive to their feeling that the same person who got to do the work of the Coby as well as to sweep the Bungalow and the kitchen. Therefore even a third class Bungalow should have a Coby and a caste sweeper who alone also be the

Dandy or waterman or a man of all work. In places where there are frequent travellers a Kotwall is very necessary to attend to requisitions for ~~Bandy~~ bullocks and to attend to supplies and to control the Establishment as the head man. Otherwise complaints are likely to be numerous of inconvenience and inattention to travellers.

Some batting vessels and native style of lamps (2 would suffice) may be supplied to vegetarian kitchens.

In places where there are Brahamin Chattrams, they may be got to attend to Bungalow Brahamin travellers where convenient.

Accomodation should be provided for resident servants in which case

Case their constant attendance could be better secured.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
[illegible]
Dy Commissioner

R. No. 334

Dy Commissioners Office
Bangalore District
4th June 1888 —

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Public Works Department

Sir.

In reply to your No. 720/41 dated 3rd Ultimo, I have the honor to state that Travellers' Bungalow Rules 1 to 3, 5 to 12 and 15-18 may in my opinion stand.

2. Rule 4 may be abrogated for the reasons stated by Colonel Bowen and Major Kensington —

3. Under Rule 13, I would advocate the insertion of a scale of fees for 3rd class Bungalows also.

4. I am also in favor of a charge being made for use of Stables, as already suggested in my letter No. 901 dated 21st February last, and indented

under Rule 13.

5. As regards _____ the remaining rules I agree with the remarks made against them by Colonel Bowen.

I have the honor &c

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

Commissioner

Dy.

No: 2708 cultd 7/6

Forwarded to the Chief Secretary to the Govt as this is understood to be a reply to a reference from this office.

C. Kirkpatrick Major R.E.
Asst Secretary to the Govt
Bangalore & Mysore
12th June/88

(General Serial No. 29.)

1888.



Cultivation
145

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District. (31)

To

~~2/3~~ *The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.*

2-86

Serial ~~2~~ 41

Dated ~~13th~~ August 1888.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1.

General No.

D No. 324.

Reminder
Entered

With reference to his No. 3325/136 dated 9th instant, has the honor to forward for information, copy of this Office letter No. 334 dated 4th June last to the address of the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Public Works Department, containing the opinion of the undersigned on the Travellers' Bungalow Rules in question.

Deputy Commissioner

Encls.

R. No. 334.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore District
Dated 4th June 1888

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

The Secretary to Government
of Mysore P. W. D.

Sir

In reply to your No. 7 20/41 of 3rd Instns, I have the honor to state that Travellers' Bungalow Rules 1 to 3, 5 to 12 & 15-18 may in my opinion stand:

2. Rule 4 may be abrogated for the reasons stated by Col: Bowen and Major Lensington:.

3. Under Rule 13, I would advocate insertion of a scale of fees for 3rd & Class Bungalows also:.

4. I am also in favor of a charge being made for use of stables, as already suggested in my letter No. 90 of 21st February last & inserted under Rule 13:-

5. As regards the remaining rules I agree with the remarks made against them by Col: Bowen:.

I have &c

(Signed) R. H. Macintire
Dy. Commissioner

"copy"

R H Macintire
Deputy Commissioner

June